arXiv:1702.06781v1 [cs.IT] 22 Feb 2017

GELFAND NUMBERS RELATED TO STRUCTURED SPARSITY AND BESOV SPACE EMBEDDINGS WITH SMALL MIXED SMOOTHNESS

SJOERD DIRKSEN AND TINO ULLRICH

Abstract. We consider the problem of determining the asymptotic order of the Gelfand numbers of mixed-(quasi-)norm embeddings $\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \hookrightarrow \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)$ given that $p \leq r$ and $q \leq u$, with emphasis on cases with $p \leq 1$ and/or $q \leq 1$. These cases turn out to be related to structured sparsity. We obtain sharp bounds in a number of interesting parameter constellations. Our new matching bounds for the Gelfand numbers of the embeddings of $\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)$ and $\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)$ into $\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)$ imply optimality assertions for the recovery of block-sparse and sparse-in-levels vectors, respectively. In addition, we apply the sharp estimates for $\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$-spaces to obtain new two-sided estimates for the Gelfand numbers of multivariate Besov space embeddings in regimes of small mixed smoothness. It turns out that in some particular cases these estimates show the same asymptotic behaviour as in the univariate situation. In the remaining cases they differ at most by a $\log\log$ factor from the univariate bound.

1. Introduction

Gelfand numbers are fundamental concepts in approximation theory and Banach space geometry. From a geometric point of view, the Gelfand numbers of an embedding between two (quasi-)normed spaces X and Y, given by

$$c_m(\mathrm{Id} : X \to Y) = \inf\Big\{ \sup_{x \in B_X \cap M} \|x\|_Y \ : \ M \hookrightarrow X \text{ closed subspace with } \mathrm{codim}(M) < m \Big\},$$

quantify the compactness of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$. From the perspective of approximation theory and signal processing, Gelfand numbers of embeddings naturally appear when considering the problem of the optimal recovery of an element $f \in X$ from few arbitrary *linear* samples, where the recovery error is measured in Y. For instance, f may be a function in a certain function space and the linear samples may consist of Fourier or wavelet coefficients of f or simple function values. The m-th Gelfand number $c_m(\mathrm{Id} : X \to Y)$ is under mild assumptions equivalent to the *m-th minimal worst-case recovery error*

$$E_m(X,Y) := \inf_{S_m} \sup_{\|f\|_X \leq 1} \|f - S_m(f)\|_Y, \tag{1}$$

with the infimum taken over all maps of the form $S_m = \varphi_m \circ N_m$, where $N_m : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear and continuous measurement map and $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R}^m \to Y$ is an arbitrary (not necessarily linear) recovery map. Precise details on this connection can be found in Proposition 2.2 and the discussion in Remark 2.3 below.

The aim of this paper is to understand the behaviour of the Gelfand numbers of embeddings between $\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$-spaces, which are equipped with the mixed (quasi-)norm

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} := \Big(\sum_{i=1}^b \Big(\sum_{j=1}^d |x_{ij}|^q \Big)^{p/q} \Big)^{1/p}. \tag{2}$$

We put emphasis on cases where either $0 < p \leq 1$ or $0 < q \leq 1$ or both $0 < p, q \leq 1$, which in particular means that we are confronted with parameter constellations where $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}$ is only a quasi-norm and the corresponding unit ball is *non-convex* if $\min\{p,q\} < 1$. The spaces $\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)$- and $\ell_2^b(\ell_p^d)$-balls with $0 < p \leq 1$ appear naturally in signal processing as models for *block-sparse* and *sparse-in-levels* signals. The study of Gelfand numbers of the embeddings of $\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)$ and $\ell_2^b(\ell_p^d)$ into ℓ_2^{bd}, which we conduct in this paper, yields general perfomance bounds for the optimal recovery of signals with structured sparsity, see Subsection 1.1.

Date: February 23, 2017.

Key words and phrases. Gelfand numbers, $\ell_p(\ell_q)$-spaces, compressed sensing, block sparsity, sparsity-in-levels, Besov spaces with small mixed smoothness.

On the other hand, $\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$-balls appear naturally in wavelet characterizations of multivariate Besov spaces with *dominating mixed smoothness*. The study of embeddings between quasi-normed $\ell_p^b(\ell_q^b)$-spaces in this paper leads to new asymptotic bounds for Gelfand numbers of embeddings between the aforementioned Besov spaces in regimes of *small smoothness*, see Subsection 1.2. Interestingly, in some particular cases these estimates show the same asymptotic behavior as in the univariate situation. In the remaining cases they differ at most by a log log factor from the univariate bound.

1.1. **Gelfand numbers and sparse recovery.** We obtain matching bounds for the Gelfand numbers

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d))$$

for a number of interesting parameter ranges in this paper. The results in full detail can be found in Section 6. At this point, we wish to discuss only the most illustrative cases and highlight some interesting effects. In particular, we prove for $m \leq bd$ and $0 < q \leq 1$

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_2^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^{bd}) \eqsim_q c_{m/b}(\mathrm{id} : \ell_q^d \to \ell_2^d) \eqsim_q \min\left\{1, \frac{b\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right\}^{1/q-1/2}.$$

For $1 \leq m \leq bd$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ we show that

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_2^{bd}) \eqsim_p \min\left\{1, \frac{\log_2(eb/m)+d}{m}\right\}^{1/p-1/2}. \tag{3}$$

Comparing these two bounds, we clearly see that the roles of the inner and outer ℓ_p-space are asymmetric. Taking $q = 1$ and $p = 1$, we find the following result as a special case.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \leq bd$. Then, for some absolute constant $C > 0$,*

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_1^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \simeq \min\left\{1, \frac{Ce(\log(eb/m)+d)}{m}\right\}^{1/2}, \tag{4}$$

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_2^b(\ell_1^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \simeq \min\left\{1, \frac{Ceb\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right\}^{1/2}. \tag{5}$$

Thanks to the equivalence between the Gelfand numbers in (4) and (5) and the minimal worst-case recovery errors $E_m(\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d), \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d))$ and $E_m(\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d), \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d))$, respectively, these bounds have interesting implications for the recovery of structured sparse signals. To discuss this, we fix some terminology. As usual, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ is called k-sparse if it has at most k nonzero entries. We say $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ is *s-outer sparse* if at most s rows of x are non-zero. We call x *t-inner sparse* if each row of x has at most t non-zero entries. These two forms of structured sparsity exactly correspond to the notions of block sparsity and (a special case of) sparsity-in-levels, respectively, in the literature. We refer to Section 2.2 for a detailed discussion. Corresponding to outer and inner sparsity, we define two different best s-term approximation errors:

$$\sigma_s^{\mathrm{outer}}(x)_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} = \inf\{\|x-z\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \ : \ z \text{ is } s\text{-outer sparse}\},$$
$$\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} = \inf\{\|x-z\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \ : \ z \text{ is } t\text{-inner sparse}\}.$$

Corollary 1.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ be a measurement matrix and $\Delta : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be any reconstruction map. Suppose that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$,*

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq C\frac{\sigma_s^{\mathrm{outer}}(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)}}{\sqrt{s}}. \tag{6}$$

Then, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on C so that if $s > c_2$, then

$$m \geq c_1(s\log(eb/s) + sd).$$

On the other hand, if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$,

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D\frac{\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)}}{\sqrt{t}}, \tag{7}$$

then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on D such that

$$m \geq c_1 bt\log(ed/t),$$

provided that $t > c_2$.

To discuss the consequences of this result for structured sparse recovery, let us recall the following known results. Let B be an $m \times n$ Gaussian matrix, i.e., a matrix with i.i.d. standard Gaussian entries and set $A = (1/\sqrt{m})B$. For any $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, let

$$\Delta_{\ell_p(\ell_q)}(Ax) = \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \,:\, Az = Ax} \|z\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)}$$

be the reconstruction via $\ell_p(\ell_q)$-minimization. It is known that if the number of measurements m satisfies $m \gtrsim s\log(eb/s) + sd$, then with high probability the pair $(A, \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_2)})$ satisfies the stable reconstruction property in (6) (see [10] and also the discussion in [2, Section 2.1]). Moreover, this number of measurements cannot be reduced: [2, Theorem 2.4] shows that if for a given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ one can recover every s-outer sparse vector x exactly from $y = Ax$ via $\Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_2)}$ then necessarily $m \gtrsim s\log(eb/s) + sd$. However, one could still hope to further reduce the required number of measurements for outer sparse recovery by picking a better pair (A, Δ). Corollary 1.2 shows that this is not possible if we want the same stable reconstruction guarantee as in (6). In this sense, the pair $(A, \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_2)})$ with $A = (1/\sqrt{m})B$ is *optimal*.

It is worthwhile to note that the pair $(A, \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)})$, $A = (1/\sqrt{m})B$, with high probability satisfies the stable reconstruction guarantee (see e.g. [13, Theorem 9.13])

$$\|x - \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)}(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D\frac{\sigma_k(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)}}{\sqrt{k}}, \tag{8}$$

whenever $m \gtrsim k\log(ebd/k)$, where

$$\sigma_k(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)} = \inf\{\|x - z\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)} \;:\; z \text{ is } k\text{-sparse}\}.$$

As a consequence (by taking $k = sd$), (6) is satisfied under the condition $m \gtrsim sd\log(eb/s)$. In conclusion, if we are only interested in stability of our reconstruction method with respect to outer sparsity (instead of sparsity) defects, then we can achieve this with fewer measurements (in a best worst-case scenario) by using $\Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_2)}$ as a reconstruction map instead of $\Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)}$. In the case of reconstruction of inner-sparse vectors, one might expect that a similar phenomenon occurs: if we are only interested in stability with respect to inner sparsity (instead of sparsity) defects, then we might be able to reconstruct from fewer measurements by using a reconstruction map that is especially suited for inner sparse recovery (a potential candidate would be $\Delta_{\ell_2(\ell_1)}$, in analogy with the outer sparse case). Somewhat surprisingly, Corollary 1.2 shows that this is not the case. By taking $k = bt$ in (8) and using that every t-inner sparse vector is bt-sparse (but not vice-versa), one deduces that the pair $(A, \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)})$, $A = (1/\sqrt{m})B$, with high probability satisfies (7) if $m \geq bt\log(ed/t)$. This is optimal by Corollary 1.2.

1.2. **Besov space embeddings with small mixed smoothness.** As a second application of our work, we are interested in proving upper and lower bounds for the Gelfand numbers of compact embeddings between Besov spaces

$$\mathrm{Id} : S^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}B([0,1]^d) \to S^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}B([0,1]^d)\,, \tag{9}$$

where $0 < p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < q_0 < q_1 \leq \infty$ are such that we are in the so-called small smoothness regime, i.e., $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r_0 - r_1 \leq 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. Although we state our results for $[0,1]^d$ here, our results remain valid for a general bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By using a well-known hyperbolic wavelet discretization machinery, we can transfer the problem to bounding the Gelfand numbers of

$$\mathrm{id} : s^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}b([0,1]^d) \to s^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}b([0,1]^d),$$

where (see (44) below for details)

$$s^r_{p,q}b := \Big\{\lambda = \{\lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}}\}_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} \subset \mathbb{C} \;:\; \|\lambda\|_{s^r_{p,q}b} := \Big[\sum_{\bar{j}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{(r-1/p)q\|\bar{j}\|_1}\Big(\sum_{\bar{k}\in A_{\bar{j}}} |\lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}}|^p\Big)^{q/p}\Big]^{1/q} < \infty\Big\}.$$

We immediately observe that $s^r_{p,q}b$ has an $\ell_q(\ell_p)$-structure. After a suitable block decomposition we use the subadditivity of Gelfand numbers (see (S2) below) in a rather sophisticated way to apply our new sharp result for the Gelfand numbers of finite-dimensional mixed-norm embeddings in Theorem 6.1, (22). This results in a sharp bound for the Gelfand numbers of (9) in the following special case (see Theorem 7.5 below): If $0 < q_0 \leq 1$, $0 < q_0 < q_1 \leq 2$ and $0 < r < 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$ then

$$c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{2,q_0}B([0,1]^d) \to S^0_{2,q_1}B([0,1]^d)) \simeq_{q_0,q_1,d,r} m^{-r}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{10}$$

Note that this decay behaviour is typical for the univariate situation ($d = 1$). Usually, in the d-variate setting we encounter asymptotic orders such as $m^{-r}(\log m)^{(d-1)\eta}$, where $\eta = \eta(p_0, q_0, p_1, q_1, r_0, r_1)$, see Remark 7.9 below and the recent papers [30, 7]. That is, the dimension d of the underlying Euclidean space enters the rate of convergence exponentially. Surprisingly, this is not longer the case in the regime of small smoothness as (10) indicates. However, the dimension d is still hidden in the constants. Let us also emphasize that the smoothness parameter r in (10) is not restricted to small values as the term "small mixed smoothness" suggests. If q_0 is taken small, then r is allowed to be large. This rather strong influence of the so-called fine index of the Besov space represents a new phenomenon.

Choosing $q_1 = 2$ in (10) we find as an important special case

$$c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{2,q_0}B([0,1]^d) \to L_2([0,1]^d)) \simeq_{q_0,d,r} m^{-r} \quad , \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

for $0 < q_0 \leq 1$ and $0 < r < 1/q_0 - 1/2$.

Concerning the situation $p_0 < p_1$ in (9) we can only prove the near-matching bounds stated below. Its (possibly non-sharp) upper bound is based on the nowadays well-known sharp estimate for the Gelfand numbers of the (non-mixed) embedding $\mathrm{id} : \ell^n_u \to \ell^n_v$ where $0 < u \leq 1$ and $0 < u < v \leq 2$ (see Theorem 6.1, (23)), which has been proved only a few years ago [43, 12] . For $0 < p_0 \leq 1$, $0 < q_0 \leq p_0 \leq p_1 \leq q_1 \leq 2$ and $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r < 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$ we prove that for constants $c, C > 0$ depending only on p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, d and r,

$$cm^{-r} \leq c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{p_0,q_0}B([0,1]^d) \to S^0_{p_1,q_1}B([0,1]^d)) \leq Cm^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{1/q_0-1/q_1} . \tag{11}$$

By taking $p_1 = q_1$ and $q_0 < p_0$ we obtain (11) also for target spaces $Y = L_{p_1}$ by embedding, see Corollaries 7.6, 7.7, 7.8 and Remark 7.10 below.

We strongly conjecture that the log log-term in (11) is not necessary. It could be removed by proving sharp bounds in the spirit of Theorem 6.1, (22), in the case of differing inner spaces. This seems to be rather involved for Gelfand numbers. However, it is at least possible to show that for the corresponding dyadic entropy numbers the lower bound is sharp. This will be discussed in a forthcoming paper [27].

1.3. **Outline.** After fixing notational conventions we introduce several basic concepts, such as Gelfand numbers and Gelfand widths and generalized notions of sparsity and compressibility in Section 2. In Section 3 we set up some machinery to prove upper bounds for Gelfand widths of bounded subsets in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ via random subspaces based on Gordon's "escape through the mesh" theorem. For the lower bounds we establish a connection to packing numbers of structured sparse vectors and give lower bounds for these packing numbers. Section 6 establishes matching bounds for Gelfand numbers for embeddings between $\ell^b_p(\ell^d_q)$-spaces in several interesting parameter ranges. These results are applied in Section 7, where we establish new results for the Gelfand numbers of Besov space embeddings with small mixed smoothness. In addition, we prove Corollary 1.2 in Section 7. Finally, in Section 8 we comment on related work on Gelfand numbers.

1.4. **Notation.** As usual $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the integers, $\mathbb{R}$ the real numbers, and $\mathbb{C}$ the complex numbers. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote $a_+ := \max\{a, 0\}$. We write log for the natural logarithm. $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ denotes the set of all $m \times n$-matrices with real entries and $\mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the Euclidean space. Vectors are usually denoted with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, sometimes we use $\bar{j}, \bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d_0$ for multi-indices. For $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote $\|x\|_p := (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ with the usual modification in the case $p = \infty$. If X is a (quasi-)normed space, then B_X denotes its unit ball and the (quasi-)norm of an element x in X is denoted by $\|x\|_X$. For any $U \subset X$ we let $r_X(U) = \sup_{x\in U} \|x\|_X$ denote the radius of U. In case X is a Banach space, X^* denotes its dual. We will frequently use the quasi-norm constant, i.e., the smallest constant α_X satisfying

$$\|x + y\|_X \leq \alpha_X(\|x\|_X + \|y\|_X), \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

For a given $0 < p \leq 1$ we say that $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a p-norm if

$$\|x + y\|^p_X \leq \|x\|^p_X + \|y\|^p_X, \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

As is well known, any quasi-normed space can be equipped with an equivalent p-norm (for a certain $0 < p \leq 1$). If $T : X \to Y$ is a continuous operator we write $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $\|T\|$ for its operator (quasi-)norm. The symbol $X \hookrightarrow Y$ indicates that the identity operator $\mathrm{Id} : X \to Y$ is continuous. For two sequences $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (b_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ we write $a_n \lesssim b_n$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $a_n \leq c\, b_n$ for all n. We will

write $a_n \simeq b_n$ if $a_n \lesssim b_n$ and $b_n \lesssim a_n$. If α is a set of parameters, then we write $a_n \lesssim_\alpha b_n$ if there exists a constant $c_\alpha > 0$ depending only on α such that $a_n \leq c_\alpha\, b_n$ for all n.

Let $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$. The $n = bd$-dimensional mixed space $\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$ is defined as the space of all matrices $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ equipped with the mixed (quasi-)norm (2) above. We always refer to the ℓ_p-space supported on $[b] := \{1, \dots, b\}$ as the *outer* space and to the ℓ_q-space supported on $[d]$ as the *inner* space. For any $S \subset [b] \times [d]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ we define x_S as the matrix $(x_S)_{ij} = x_{ij}$ for $(i,j) \in S$, $(x_S)_{ij} = 0$ for $(i,j) \in S^c$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Gelfand numbers and worst-case errors.** We recall the definition of Gelfand numbers and the mild conditions under which Gelfand numbers can be considered as worst-case approximation/recovery errors. Let X, Y be (quasi-)Banach spaces and $T : X \to Y$ a continuous map.

Definition 2.1. Let X, Y be two quasi-Banach spaces and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the m-th Gelfand number is defined as

$$c_m(T) := \inf\{\|TJ_M^X\| \ : \ M \hookrightarrow X \text{ closed subspace with } \operatorname{codim}(M) < m\}.$$

Here J_M^X is the natural injection of M into X.

We emphasize that the dimension of a subspace is a purely algebraic notion and makes sense also in the framework of quasi-Banach spaces. The codimension of a subspace can be defined as the dimension of the quotient space, see [35, Sect. 1.40].

Similar to Kolmogorov and approximation numbers, Gelfand numbers are additive and multiplicative s-numbers, see [31, Sect. 2.2]. The sequence $(c_m(T))_{m=1}^\infty$ satisfies the following properties. Let X, Y, X_0, Y_0 be quasi Banach-spaces and Y be a p-Banach space. Let further $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $U \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$, $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$. Then the following properties hold:

(S1): $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = c_1(T) \geq c_2(T) \geq \ldots \geq 0$,

(S2): for all $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$c^p_{m_1+m_2-1}(S + T) \leq c^p_{m_1}(S) + c^p_{m_2}(T),$$

(S3): for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$c_m(RTU) \leq \|R\| \cdot c_m(T) \cdot \|U\|,$$

(S4): $c_m(T) = 0$ if $m > \operatorname{rank}(T)$,

(S5): $c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_2^m \to \ell_2^m) = 1$.

If X and Y are Banach spaces then we may also define the m-the Gelfand number $c_m(T : X \to Y)$ by

$$c_m(T) := \inf_{L_1,\dots,L_{m-1}\in X^*} \sup\{\|T\, f\|_Y : f \in B_X, L_1(f) = \ldots = L_{m-1}(f) = 0\}, \tag{12}$$

which is the crucial property leading to the well-known relation between Gelfand numbers and minimal worst-case errors. In fact, let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ and $T = \mathrm{id}$ then we have the following well-known relation between Gelfand numbers and the minimal worst-case errors defined in (1). Its proof is a straightforward modification of [13, Thm. 10.4].

Proposition 2.2. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $X \hookrightarrow Y$. Then*

$$c_m(\mathrm{Id} : X \to Y) \leq E_m(X, Y) \leq 2c_m(\mathrm{Id} : X \to Y).$$

Note, that (12) and Proposition 2.2 may fail in general for quasi-Banach spaces.

Remark 2.3. *(i)* There is also the related notion of *Gelfand width*. The m-th Gelfand width of a bounded set K in Y is given by

$$c_m(K, Y) := \inf_{M\hookrightarrow Y, \operatorname{codim}(M)\leq m} \sup\{\|f\|_Y : f \in K \cap M\}. \tag{13}$$

The terms Gelfand numbers and Gelfand widths are often used interchangeably in the literature although they are defined in a fundamentally different way. In particular, it is important to note that in the definition of the Gelfand numbers $c_m(\mathrm{id} : X \to Y)$ we take an infimum over subspaces M of X, whereas in the definition of Gelfand widths $c_m(B_X, Y)$ we take an infimum over subspaces M of Y. In particular, if X and Y are quasi-Banach spaces then it is not clear whether the above Gelfand numbers and Gelfand widths are equivalent. Only recently, some sufficient conditions have been determined under which $c_m(\mathrm{id} : X \to Y)$ and

$c_m(B_X, Y)$ are equivalent, see [9]. Obviously, if X and Y are both n-dimensional, then Gelfand numbers and Gelfand widths coincide.

(ii) A relation between Gelfand widths and a corresponding notion of minimal worst case errors, which are nowadays sometimes called *compressive m-widths* [13, Def. 10.2, Thm. 10.4], was first given in [39, Thm. 5.4.1]. These associated minimal worst-case errors are defined, for a given bounded set K in a (quasi-)Banach space Y, as

$$E_m(K,Y) := \inf_{S_m} \sup_{f\in K} \|f - S_m(f)\|_Y \tag{14}$$

where the infimum is taken over all maps $S_m = \varphi_m \circ N_m$, with $N_m : Y \to \mathbb{R}^m$ any linear and continuous measurement map and $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R}^m \to Y$ is an arbitrary recovery map. Note that the worst-case reconstruction error $E_m(X,Y)$ in (1) and $E_m(B_X,Y)$ in (14) are defined in a subtly different manner: in the first case we consider measurement maps $N_m : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$, whereas in the second case we consider maps $N_m : Y \to \mathbb{R}^m$. The compressive m-width and the m-th Gelfand width are equivalent under mild conditions [13, Thm. 10.4]. In particular, if $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\|\cdot\|_Y$ are quasi-norms on $\mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\alpha_Y^{-1} c_m(B_X,Y) \leq E_m(B_X,Y) \leq 2\alpha_X c_m(B_X,Y). \tag{15}$$

In conclusion, the m-th Gelfand number $c_m(\mathrm{id} : X \to Y)$, the m-th Gelfand width $c_m(B_X,Y)$ and their associated minimal worst-case errors $E_m(X,Y)$ and $E_m(B_X,Y)$, respectively, can in general be four non-equivalent quantities. However, if X and Y are finite-dimensional quasi-normed spaces of the same dimension, then these quantities are equivalent up to constants depending only the quasi-norm constants of X and Y. In particular, if $X = \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$ and $Y = \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)$, then the constants in the equivalences depend only on p, q, r and u. We will use these facts heavily in the sections that follow.

2.2. **Generalized notions of sparsity and compressibility.** We fix some terminology concerning structured sparsity. As usual, we say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ is *s-sparse* if it has at most s nonzero entries. We say x is *s-outer sparse* if at most s rows of x are non-zero. The qualifier 'outer' refers to the fact that the sparsity is defined with respect to the outer ℓ_p-space, if $x \in \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$. This type of sparsity is often called *block-sparsity* in the signal processing literature (see e.g. [10, 2]): one views $x \in \ell_p^d(\ell_q^d)$ as a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^b$ of d-dimensional blocks x_i, defined by $(x_i)_j = x_{ij}$, and one says that x is s-block sparse if at most s blocks x_i are non-zero. As a third form of sparsity, we say that x is *t-inner sparse* if each row of x has at most t non-zero entries. Viewing x again as a block vector, we require here that each block x_i is a t-sparse vector. This type of sparsity is a special case of *sparsity-in-levels* (see e.g. [1, 3, 25]) (where we take all levels of the same size and the same sparsity for each level). Finally, as a mixture of outer and inner sparsity, we define x to be *(s,t)-sparse* if it has at most s non-zero rows, each of which has at most t-non-zero entries.

Corresponding to outer and inner sparsity, we define two different best s-term approximation errors:

$$\sigma_s^{\mathrm{outer}}(x)_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} = \inf\{\|x - z\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \ : \ z \text{ is } s\text{-outer sparse}\},$$
$$\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} = \inf\{\|x - z\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \ : \ z \text{ is } t\text{-inner sparse}\}.$$

In the sequel we will need the inequality [13, Prop. 2.3, Thm. 2.5] which is often referred to as "Stechkin's lemma". Let us emphasize that S.B. Stechkin never formulated such a result. The first known version goes back to Temlyakov 1986 [38, p. 92] for $p, q \geq 1$. Note, that there is indeed a well-known Stechkin lemma (or Stechkin criterion) from 1955 proved in [37], see also [7, Lem. 7.10] for further historical comments. This criterion implies the crucial inequality [13, Prop. 2.3] in case $p = 1$ and $q = 2$ but with a constant larger than 1. We will state the following versions of [13, Prop. 2.3]. The proof is a straightforward modification.

If $0 < p < r \leq \infty$, then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$,

$$\sigma_s^{\mathrm{outer}}(x)_{\ell_r^b(\ell_q^d)} \leq \frac{1}{s^{1/p-1/r}} \|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \tag{16}$$

and if $0 < q < u \leq \infty$

$$\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_p^b(\ell_u^d)} \leq \frac{1}{t^{1/q-1/u}} \|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}. \tag{17}$$

The bound (16) shows that vectors in $B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}$ with p small can be approximated well by outer-sparse vectors and, similarly, (17) implies that elements in $B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_u^d)}$ with q small can be approximated well by inner-sparse

vectors. The constant $c = 1$ in (16) and (17) is not optimal. It is actually below one, depending on p, q, r, u, see [7, Section 7.4] and the references therein.

3. A general upper bound for Gelfand widths of $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

In this section we set up some machinery to prove upper bounds for Gelfand numbers based on "Gordon's escape through a mesh" theorem . To facilitate potential re-use, we write our results down in more generality than needed in Section 6 below. Below we will deal with Gelfand widths of bounded subsets in $\mathbb{R}^n$, see (13) in Remark 2.3 .

Let us recall that the Gaussian width of a set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$w(T) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in T} \langle g, x \rangle,$$

where g is an n-dimensional standard Gaussian vector. Let us denote

$$E_n := \mathbb{E}\|g\|_2 = \sqrt{2}\frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)} .$$

Let us mention the relation $m/\sqrt{m+1} \le E_m \le \sqrt{m}$. Gordon's "Escape through a mesh" theorem [19, Cor. 3.4] will be the main tool in the proof. We will state the version from [13, Thm. 9.21].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a Gaussian random matrix and T be a subset of the unit sphere $S^{n-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ \|x\|_2 = 1\}$. Then for every $t > 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{x \in T} \|Ax\|_2 \le E_m - w(T) - t \Big) \le e^{-t^2/2} .$$

Clearly, Lemma 3.1 yields a non-trivial result if $E_m > w(T)$ and in particular if $m \gtrsim w(T)^2$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 < \rho < \infty$, $\|\cdot\|_Y$ denote a quasi-norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded symmetric set. Define the set*

$$K_\rho = \{x \in K \ : \ \|x\|_Y > \rho\}$$

and let $K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2} = \{x/\|x\|_2 \ : \ x \in K_\rho\}$ be the associated set of ℓ_2-normalized vectors. If

$$m \gtrsim \max\{w(K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2})^2, 1\}, \tag{18}$$

then

$$c_m(K, Y) \le \rho.$$

Proof. To prove the assertion, we need to find an $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that for all $x \in \ker(A) \cap K$ we have $\|x\|_Y \le \rho$. Note that the latter is satisfied if $\inf_{x \in K_\rho} \|Ax\|_2^2 > 0$. By Lemma 3.1, if A is an $m \times n$ standard Gaussian matrix such that $E_m > w(K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2})$, then we have

$$\gamma(K, \rho; A) := \inf_{x \in K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2}} \|Ax\|_2 > 0 \tag{19}$$

with probability at least $1 - \exp(-t^2/2)$, where $0 < t < E_m - w(K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2})$. If this event happens, we have for $x \in K_\rho$ and $y = x/\|x\|_2$ the bound

$$\|Ax\|_2 = \|x\|_2 \cdot \|A(y)\|_2 \ge C_Y \gamma(K, \rho; A)\|x\|_Y \ge C_Y \gamma(K, \rho; A)\rho > 0 ,$$

for a certain $C_Y > 0$, as all quasi-norms on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are equivalent. Hence, (18) ensures the existence of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ satisfying $\inf_{x \in K_\rho} \|Ax\|_2 > 0$. □

In conclusion, we can obtain an upper bound for the Gelfand width by estimating

$$w(K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2}) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in K \ : \ \|x\|_Y > \rho} \Big\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|_2}, g \Big\rangle$$

from above.

4. A lower bound for Gelfand widths via packing numbers

We generalize the proof-by-contradiction technique from [12], which was used to prove lower bounds for $c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^n \to \ell_q^n)$. To this end, we establish a connection between Gelfand and packing numbers in Proposition 4.4, which requires some further preparation. Recall that for a quasi-normed space X, a bounded subset $K \subset X$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, the packing number $\mathcal{P}(K, \|\cdot\|_X, \varepsilon)$ is the maximal number of elements in K which have pairwise distance of at least ε. The following well-known lemma can be proven by a standard volume comparison argument.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\|\cdot\|_X$ be any quasi-norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with quasi-norm constant $1 \leq \alpha_X < \infty$ and let U be a subset of the unit ball $B_X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_X \leq 1\}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\mathcal{P}(U, \|\cdot\|_X, \varepsilon) \leq \alpha_X^n \left(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)^n.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ and let $\|\cdot\|_X$ be any quasi-norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set of vectors satisfying, for some $c \geq 1$,*

$$\inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n \,:\, Az=Ax} \|z\|_X \geq c^{-1}\|x\|_X \qquad \text{for all } x \in T - T. \tag{20}$$

Let $U \subset T$ and let $r_X(U) = \sup_{x\in U} \|x\|_X$ be its radius. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\log \mathcal{P}(U, \|\cdot\|_X, \varepsilon) \leq m \log\Big(\alpha_X + \frac{2c\alpha_X r_X(U)}{\varepsilon}\Big),$$

where α_X is the quasi-norm constant.

Proof. Consider the quotient space $Q = X/\ker(A)$ equipped with its natural quasi-norm

$$\|[x]\|_Q := \inf_{v\in\ker(A)} \|x - v\|_X.$$

Note that for every $v \in \ker(A)$, the vector $z = x - v$ satisfies $Az = Ax$. On the other hand, if $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $Az = Ax$, then $v = x - z$ defines a vector in $\ker(A)$. By (20), this implies

$$\|[x]\|_Q \leq \|x\|_X \leq c\|[x]\|_Q \qquad \text{for all } x \in T - T$$

and in particular

$$\mathcal{P}(U, \|\cdot\|_X, \varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{P}(U, c\|\cdot\|_Q, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{P}(U, \|\cdot\|_Q, \varepsilon/c).$$

Now apply Lemma 4.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(U, \|\cdot\|_X, \varepsilon) &\leq \mathcal{P}(r_X(U)B_Q, \|\cdot\|_Q, \varepsilon/c)\\ &\leq \Big(\alpha_X + \frac{2c\alpha_X r_X(U)}{\varepsilon}\Big)^{\mathrm{rank}(A)} \leq \Big(\alpha_X + \frac{2c\alpha_X r_X(U)}{\varepsilon}\Big)^m.\end{aligned}$$

Taking logarithms yields the result. □

In what follows, we let $\|\cdot\|_X$ be a quasi-norm on $\mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ with quasi-norm constant $1 \leq \alpha_X < \infty$. Moreover, we let $0 < \beta_X < \infty$ denote the smallest constant such that for all $S \subset [b] \times [d]$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ we have

$$\|x_S\|_X + \|x_{S^c}\|_X \leq \beta_X \|x\|_X.$$

Clearly, for any $S \subset [b] \times [d]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$,

$$\|x\|_X \leq \alpha_X(\|x_S\|_X + \|x_{S^c}\|_X) \leq \alpha_X\beta_X\|x\|_X,$$

so $\alpha_X\beta_X \geq 1$. Note that $\beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \leq 2$ depends only on p and q.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a collection of supports in $[b] \times [d]$. Suppose that for every $v \in \mathrm{Ker}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $\|v_S\|_X < \|v_{S^c}\|_X$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$,*

$$\inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n \,:\, Az=Ax_S} \|z\|_X > (\alpha_X\beta_X)^{-1}\|x_S\|_X.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$. If $z \neq x_S$ satisfies $Az = Ax_S$, then $v = x_S - z \in \mathrm{Ker}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_X^{-1}\|x_S\|_X &\leq \|x_S - z_S\|_X + \|z_S\|_X = \|v_S\|_X + \|z_S\|_X \\ &< \|v_{S^c}\|_X + \|z_S\|_X \\ &= \|z_{S^c}\|_X + \|z_S\|_X \leq \beta_X\|z\|_X.\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us define the parameter

$$\kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{b\times d}, S\in\mathcal{S}} \frac{\|x_S\|_X}{\|x_S\|_Y}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a collection of supports in $[b]\times[d]$ and define $\mathcal{S}_+ = \{S_1 \cup S_2 \ : \ S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_Y$ be a quasi-norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying*

$$\|x_S\|_Y \leq \|x\|_Y \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, S \in \mathcal{S}_+.$$

If

$$c_m(B_X, Y) < (2\alpha_X\kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}_+})^{-1}$$

then for any $U \subset \{x_S \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}, \ S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\log \mathcal{P}(U, \|\cdot\|_X, \varepsilon) \leq m \log\Big(\alpha_X + \frac{2\alpha_X^2\beta_X r_X(U)}{\varepsilon}\Big).$$

Proof. By definition of the Gelfand width, there exists a $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ such that for every $x \in \mathrm{Ker}(A) \setminus \{0\}$

$$\|x\|_Y < (2\alpha_X\kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}_+})^{-1}\|x\|_X.$$

For any $S \in \mathcal{S}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_S\|_X &\leq \kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}_+}\|x_S\|_Y \\ &\leq \kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}_+}\|x\|_Y < (2\alpha_X)^{-1}\|x\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|x_S\|_X + \|x_{S^c}\|_X).\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we conclude that $\|x_S\|_X < \|x_{S^c}\|_X$. Now apply Lemma 4.3 and subsequently Lemma 4.2 (with $T = \{x_S \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}, \ S \in \mathcal{S}\}$, noting that $T - T = \{x_S \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}, \ S \in \mathcal{S}_+\}$) to obtain the conclusion. □

Proposition 4.4 can be used to prove lower bounds for the Gelfand widths $c_m(B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d))$ via contradiction: one assumes that $c_m(B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d))$ is small and then constructs a large packing consisting of structured sparse vectors to find a contradiction. This packing construction is the subject of the next section.

5. Construction of a large packing in $B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}$

We obtain a lower bound for the packing numbers $\mathcal{P}(B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, \|\cdot\|_{\ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)}, \varepsilon)$, where $0 < p, q, r, u \leq \infty$. The proof, inspired by [2], is based on two combinatorial facts. The first combinatorial facts has been independently observed in various disciplines of mathematics. A proof can be found, e.g., in [13, Lemma 10.12], [23] or [32, Prop. 2.21, Page 219].

Lemma 5.1. *Given integers $\ell < n$, there exist subsets $I_1, \ldots, I_N$ of $[n]$ such that each I_i has cardinality 2ℓ and*

$$\mathrm{card}(I_i \cap I_j) < \ell \quad \text{whenever } i \neq j,$$

and

$$N \geq \Big(\frac{n}{8\ell}\Big)^{\ell}.$$

The second combinatorial fact is a variation of Lemma 5.1, which is well known in coding theory [17, 40]. For given sets $A_1, \ldots, A_\ell$ we let d_H denote the Hamming distance on $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_\ell$, i.e.,

$$d_H((x_1, \ldots, x_\ell), (y_1, \ldots, y_\ell)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} 1_{x_i \neq y_i}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *(Gilbert-Varshamov bound) Fix $\theta > 1$. Let $A_1, \dots, A_\ell$ be sets, each consisting of θ elements. Then, for any $k \in [\ell]$,*

$$\mathcal{P}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_\ell, d_H, k) \geq \frac{\theta^\ell}{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{\ell}{j}(\theta-1)^j}.$$

Let us recall that we defined a matrix $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$ to be (s,t)-sparse if it has at most s non-zero rows, each of which has at most t-non-zero entries.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $b, d \geq 8$. For any $0 < p, q, r, u \leq \infty$, $s \in [\lfloor b/8 \rfloor]$, and $t \in [\lfloor d/8 \rfloor]$, there is a set W of $(2s, 2t)$-sparse vectors with $r_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}(W) \leq (2s)^{1/p}(2t)^{1/q}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{P}(W, \|\cdot\|_{\ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)}, s^{1/r}(2t)^{1/u}) \geq \Big(\frac{b}{32s}\Big)^s \Big(\frac{d}{8t}\Big)^{st}.$$

Proof. We use Lemma 5.1 to find a collection $A_{d,t}$ of $(d/(8t))^t$ subsets of $[d]$ such that each $I \in A_{d,t}$ has cardinality $2t$ and

$$\mathrm{card}(I \cap J) < t \quad \text{whenever } I \neq J.$$

In particular, the symmetric difference $I \Delta J$ has cardinality at least $2t$ if $I \neq J$. Set $A_i := A_{d,t}$ for $i \in [2s]$. Applying Lemma 5.2 to $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{2s}$ with $\ell = 2s$, $\theta = (d/(8t))^t$ and $k = s$, we find a set $\mathcal{A} \subset A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{2s}$ with

$$\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \frac{(d/(8t))^{2st}}{\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\binom{2s}{j}((d/(8t))^t - 1)^j} \geq \frac{(d/(8t))^{2st}}{2^{2s}(d/(8t))^{st}} = \frac{(d/(8t))^{st}}{4^s},$$

so that for any $K = (K_1, \dots, K_{2s})$ and $L = (L_1, \dots, L_{2s})$ in $\mathcal{A}$ there are at least s indices i with $K_i \neq L_i$ and in particular $\mathrm{card}(K_i \cap L_i) < t$. We now define a set of $(2s, 2t)$-sparse vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2s\times d}$ with $\{0,1\}$-entries by

$$M = \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2s} \sum_{j \in K_i} e_{ij} \ : \ K \in \mathcal{A} \Big\}.$$

By construction, for each pair of vectors w, z in M with $w \neq z$ there exist at least s indices i so that

$$\mathrm{supp}(w_i) \cap \mathrm{supp}(z_i) < t. \tag{21}$$

Next, using Lemma 5.1 we pick a sequence (I_α) of $(b/(8s))^s$ subsets of $[b]$ such that each I_α has cardinality $2s$ and

$$\mathrm{card}(I_\alpha \cap I_\gamma) < s \quad \text{whenever } \alpha \neq \gamma.$$

We now define W to be the set of $(2s, 2t)$-sparse vectors

$$W = \bigcup_\alpha \Big\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_b) \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d} : x_{I_\alpha} \in M, x_{[b]\setminus I_\alpha} = 0 \Big\}.$$

Observe that

$$\mathrm{card}(W) = (b/(8s))^s \mathrm{card}(M) \geq (b/(8s))^s \frac{(d/(8t))^{st}}{4^s} = \Big(\frac{b}{32s}\Big)^s \Big(\frac{d}{8t}\Big)^{st}.$$

For I_α and $K \in \mathcal{A}$ let us denote

$$x_{I_\alpha, K} = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \sum_{j \in K_i} e_{ij}.$$

By construction,

$$\|x_{I_\alpha,K}\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} = \Big(\sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \Big(\sum_{j \in K_i} \Big)^{p/q} \Big)^{1/q} \leq (2s)^{1/p}(2t)^{1/q},$$

so $r_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}(W) \leq (2s)^{1/p}(2t)^{1/q}$. Moreover, for $K, L \in \mathcal{A}$ with $K \neq L$, the property (21) implies

$$\|x_{I_\alpha,K} - x_{I_\alpha,L}\|_{\ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)} = \Big(\sum_{i \in I_\alpha} \Big(\sum_{j \in K_i \Delta L_i} \Big)^{r/u} \Big)^{1/r} \geq s^{1/r}(2t)^{1/u}.$$

Finally, if $I_\alpha \neq I_\gamma$, then

$$\|x_{I_\alpha,K} - x_{I_\gamma,L}\|_{\ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)} = \Big(\sum_{i\in I_\alpha\cap I_\gamma} \Big(\sum_{j\in K_i\Delta L_i} \Big)^{r/u} + \sum_{i\in I_\alpha\setminus I_\gamma} \Big(\sum_{j\in K_i} \Big)^{r/u} + \sum_{i\in I_\gamma\setminus I_\alpha} \Big(\sum_{j\in L_i} \Big)^{r/u} \Big)^{1/r} \geq (2s)^{1/r}(2t)^{1/u}.$$

In conclusion, W is an $s^{1/r}(2t)^{1/u}$-packing. □

6. Matching bounds for Gelfand numbers

This section is devoted to the proof of the following extension of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $m \leq bd$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) If $0 < p \leq 1$ and $p < q \leq 2$, then

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)) \simeq_{p,q} \min\Big\{1, \frac{\log(eb/m)+d}{m}\Big\}^{1/p-1/q} \tag{22}$$

and in particular,

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_q^d)) \simeq_{p,q} \min\Big\{1, \frac{\log(ebd/m)}{m}\Big\}^{1/p-1/q}. \tag{23}$$

(ii) If $0 < q \leq 1$ and $q \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) \simeq_{p,q} \min\Big\{1, \frac{b\log(ebd/m)}{m}\Big\}^{1/q-1/p}.$$

(iii) Set $0 < q \leq 1$ and $q \leq p \leq 1$. Then, there is a constant $c_{p,q}$, so that

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \simeq_{p,q} \begin{cases} 1 & : 1 \leq m \leq c_{p,q}\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right), \\ \left(\frac{\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right)^{1/p-1/2} & : c_{p,q}\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right) \leq m \leq c_{p,q}b\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right), \\ b^{1/2-1/p}\left(\frac{b\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right)^{1/q-1/2} & : c_{p,q}b\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right) \leq m \leq bd. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, (23) immediately follows from (22) by taking $d = 1$ and '$b = bd$'. The bounds in (23) were obtained earlier in [12, 43].

Remark 6.2. The two-sided estimate in (iii) in the case $c_{p,q}b\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right) \leq m \leq bd$ remains valid if $0 < q \leq 1$ and $q \leq p \leq 2$. This will be clear from the proof.

Remark 6.3. Our proof of the upper bounds in Theorem 6.1 is non-constructive. As a matter of fact, our proof shows (see in particular the proof of Proposition 3.2) that all two-sided bounds in Theorem 6.1 are with high probability attained by the kernel of an $m \times bd$ standard Gaussian matrix.

We start with proving the upper bound in (22). Consider the set

$$D_{b,d,s} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d} \ : \ \|x\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq \sqrt{s}, \|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq 1\}.$$

We will need the following observation, which is known in the case $d = 1$ (see [33, Lemma 3.1] and [34, Lemma 2.9]).

Lemma 6.4. *Let $L_{b,d,s}$ be the set of all s-outer sparse matrices in $B_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{conv}(L_{b,d,s}) \subset D_{b,d,s} \subset 2\mathrm{conv}(L_{b,d,s}). \tag{24}$$

As a consequence,

$$w(D_{b,d,s}) \lesssim \sqrt{s\log(eb/s)} + \sqrt{sd}. \tag{25}$$

Proof. The first inclusion in (24) follows immediately, since by Cauchy-Schwarz, $\|x\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq \sqrt{s}$ if $x \in L_{b,d,s}$. For the second inclusion, let $x \in D_{b,d,s}$. We write $x = \sum_{k\geq 0} x_{S_k\times[d]}$, where the S_k are disjoint subsets of $[b]$, satisfying $|S_k| = s$ and $\|x_{i\cdot}\|_{\ell_2^d} \geq \|x_{j\cdot}\|_{\ell_2^d}$ if $i \in S_{k-1}$ and $j \in S_k$. In particular, for $k \geq 1$,

$$\|x_{S_k\times[d]}\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq \sqrt{s}\max_{i\in S_k}\|x_{i\cdot}\|_{\ell_2^d} \leq \sqrt{s}\min_{j\in S_{k-1}}\|x_{j\cdot}\|_{\ell_2^d} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\|x_{S_{k-1}\times[d]}\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)}.$$

Note, moreover, that $\|x_{S_0\times[d]}\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq 1$ and therefore

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\|x_{S_k\times[d]}\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq 1 + \sum_{k\geq 1}\|x_{S_k\times[d]}\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq 1 + \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\|x_{S_k\times[d]}\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\|x\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq 2.$$

Writing

$$x = \sum_{k\geq 0}\|x_{S_k\times[d]}\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}\frac{x_{S_k\times[d]}}{\|x_{S_k\times[d]}\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}}$$

and noting that $x_{S_k\times[d]}/\|x_{S_k\times[d]}\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}$ is in $L_{b,d,s}$, we conclude that the second inclusion in (24) holds. This immediately implies that

$$w(D_{b,d,s}) \leq 2\mathbb{E}\sup_{y\in L_{b,d,s}}\langle y, g\rangle = 2\mathbb{E}\sup_{S\in\mathcal{S}}\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} = 2\mathbb{E}\sup_{S\in\mathcal{S}}(\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} - \mathbb{E}\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}) + 2\sup_{S\in\mathcal{S}}\mathbb{E}\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)},$$

where g is a standard Gaussian vector of length bd and

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\tilde{S}\times[d] \ : \ \tilde{S}\subset[b],\ \mathrm{card}(\tilde{S}) = s\}$$

is the set of all s-outer sparse support sets in $[b]\times[d]$. Clearly,

$$\sup_{S\in\mathcal{S}}\mathbb{E}\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq \sup_{S\in\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{E}\|g_S\|^2_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)})^{1/2} = \sqrt{sd}.$$

By the Gaussian concentration inequality for Lipschitz functions (see e.g. [4, Theorem 5.6]), for any $S \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} - \mathbb{E}\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \geq t) \leq e^{-t^2/2} \qquad (t > 0).$$

Thus, the $\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} - \mathbb{E}\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}$ are mean-zero and subgaussian and therefore (see e.g. [13, Proposition 7.29]),

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{S\in\mathcal{S}}(\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} - \mathbb{E}\|g_S\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}) \lesssim (\log\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{S}))^{1/2} = \left(\log\binom{b}{s}\right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{s\log(eb/s)}.$$

Combining these estimates yields (25). □

We will also use the following technical lemma. It formalizes, in a very special case, the idea that the functions $x \mapsto x/\log(1/x)$ and $y \mapsto y\log(1/y)$ can be treated as 'inverse functions up to constants'.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $C \geq 1$, $x > 0$, $0 < y \leq K$ and*

$$x \leq \frac{1}{Ce}\frac{y}{\log(eK/y)}.$$

Then,

$$y \geq \frac{Ce}{1+\log(Ce)}x\log(eK/x).$$

Proof. As $y \leq K$, we find

$$\frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{1}{Ce}\frac{1}{\log(eK/y)} \leq \frac{1}{Ce}.$$

Since $t \mapsto t\log(t)$ is decreasing on $[0, 1/e]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y &\geq Cex\log(eK/y) = Cex\log(eK/x) + Cey\frac{x}{y}\log(x/y) \\ &\geq Cex\log(eK/x) + Cey\frac{1}{Ce}\log(1/(Ce)) = Cex\log(eK/x) - y\log(Ce). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging yields the asserted bound. □

Proposition 6.6. *Set $0 < p \leq 1$ and $p < q \leq 2$. There is an absolute constant $C \geq 1$ such that for all $m \leq bd$ we have*

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)) \leq \min\Big\{1, Ce\frac{\log(eb/m)+d}{m}\Big\}^{1/p-1/q}.$$

Proof. If $m \leq Ce(\log(eb/m)+d)$ then we can trivially bound

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)) \leq \|\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)\| = 1,$$

which is the desired estimate.

Assume now that $m > Ce(\log(eb/m)+d)$. We apply Proposition 3.2 for $K = B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}$ and $Y = \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)$ to deduce the result. To this end, we determine for a given $s \in \mathbb{N}$ a suitable $0 < \rho < 1$ such that $K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2} \subset D_{b,d,s}$. This will allow for an upper estimate of the Gaussian width $w(K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2})$ in terms of s based on Lemma 6.4. Afterwards, we choose s appropriately for the given m. Let $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ satisfy $1/q = \theta/p + (1-\theta)/2$, that is

$$\theta = \Big(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\Big)^{-1}.$$

If $x \in B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}$, then for any $x \in K_\rho$ we have

$$\rho < \|x\|_{\ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}^{\theta} \|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}^{1-\theta} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}^{1-\theta}$$

or

$$\|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}^{-1} \leq \rho^{-1/(1-\theta)}.$$

Let $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ satisfy $1 = \eta/p + (1-\eta)/2$, that is,

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\Big)^{-1}.$$

Then, for any $y = x/\|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \in K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2}$ we have

$$\|y\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)} = \frac{\|x\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)}}{\|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}} \leq \frac{\|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}^{\eta} \|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}^{1-\eta}}{\|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)}^{-\eta} \leq \rho^{-\eta/(1-\theta)}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\eta}{1-\theta} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\Big)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, if we set $\rho = s^{-(1/p-1/q)}$, then $K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2} \subset D_{b,d,s}$ and by Lemma 6.4,

$$w(K_\rho^{\|\cdot\|_2}) \lesssim \sqrt{s\log(eb/s)} + \sqrt{sd}.$$

Proposition 3.2 implies that

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)) \leq s^{-(1/p-1/q)}$$

provided that s satisfies

$$m \gtrsim s\log(eb/s) + sd.$$

Set $C \geq 1$. Define $s = \lfloor m/(Ce(\log(eb/m)+d))\rfloor$, so that $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s \leq \frac{m}{Ce(\log(eb/m)+d)} = \frac{m}{Ce\log(ebe^d/m)}.$$

Since $m \leq be^d$, we can now apply Lemma 6.5 with $K = be^d$ to obtain

$$m \geq \frac{Ce}{1+\log(Ce)} s\log(ebe^d/s) = \frac{Ce}{1+\log(Ce)}(s\log(eb/s) + sd).$$

Thus, the desired condition on m is satisfied if we pick $C \geq 1$ large enough. □

We now prove the lower bound in (22).

Proposition 6.7. *Set $0 < p < q \leq 2$. There exist constants $c_{p,q}$ and c_p such that for all $m \leq bd$ we have*

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)) \geq c_{p,q} \min\Big\{1, \frac{\frac{1}{2}c_p(\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}d)}{m}\Big\}^{1/p-1/q}.$$

Proof. We prove the result by contradiction using Proposition 4.4, so suppose that

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)) < c_{p,q}\mu(m)^{1/p-1/q},$$

where

$$\mu(m) := \min\Big\{1, \frac{\frac{1}{2}c_p(\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}d)}{m}\Big\}$$

and c_p, $c_{p,q}$ are to be determined below. Set $s = \lfloor \mu(m)^{-1} \rfloor$. Note that $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\frac{1}{2\mu(m)} < s \leq \frac{1}{\mu(m)}.$$

For $x \in \ker(A)$, $x \neq 0$, we have by assumption

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq b^{1/p-1/q}\|x\|_{\ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq b^{1/p-1/q}c_{p,q}s^{-(1/p-1/q)}\|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}$$

so in particular,

$$b/s \geq c_{p,q}^{-1/(1/p-1/q)}. \tag{26}$$

By taking $c_{p,q}$ small enough, we can ensure that $s \leq b/8$, so that we can apply Proposition 5.3 below.

We apply Proposition 4.4 for $X = \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)$ and $Y = \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\tilde{S} \times [d] \ : \ \tilde{S} \subset [b],\ \mathrm{card}(\tilde{S}) = 2s\}$$

be the set of all $2s$-outer sparse support sets, so that $\mathcal{S}_+$ is the set of all $4s$-outer sparse support sets. By the sharp inequality

$$\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{4s}\|x_k\|_2^p\Big)^{1/p} \leq (4s)^{1/p-1/q}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{4s}\|x_k\|_2^q\Big)^{1/q} \qquad \text{for all } x_1,\dots,x_{4s} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

we see that

$$\kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}_+} = (4s)^{1/p-1/q}.$$

Therefore, if

$$c_{p,q} \leq \Big(\frac{1}{4}\Big)^{1/p-1/q}(2\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)})^{-1},$$

then by assumption

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d)) < c_{p,q}\mu(m)^{1/p-1/q} \leq (2\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)})^{-1}(4s)^{-(1/p-1/q)}.$$

By Proposition 4.4, for any $W \subset \{x_S \ : \ S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\log \mathcal{P}(W, \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}, \varepsilon) \leq m \log\Big(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)} + \frac{2\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}^2\beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}r_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}(W)}{\varepsilon}\Big).$$

By Proposition 5.3, applied with $t = d/(8e)$, there is a set W of $2s$-outer sparse vectors with $r_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}(W) \leq (2s)^{1/p}(d/4e)^{1/2}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}\Big(W, \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}, s^{1/p}\Big(\frac{d}{4e}\Big)^{1/2}\Big) \geq \Big(\frac{b}{32s}\Big)^s e^{sd/(8e)}.$$

This implies

$$s\log(b/32s) + (8e)^{-1}sd \leq m\log(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)} + 2^{1+1/p}\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}^2\beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}). \tag{27}$$

Setting

$$c_p = \Big(\log(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)} + 2^{1+1/p}\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)}^2\beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_2^d)})\Big)^{-1},$$

it follows from (26) that

$$m \geq c_p s\log(b/32s) \geq c_p s\log(c_{p,q}^{-(1/p-1/q)}/32).$$

Hence, by picking $c_{p,q}$ small enough, we can ensure that $m \geq 32s$. Using this in (27) yields

$$s\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}sd \leq mc_p^{-1}.$$

We now rearrange to find

$$m \geq c_p(s\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}sd) > \frac{1}{2}\mu(m)^{-1}c_p(\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}d)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}c_p(\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}d)\Big(\min\Big\{1, \frac{\frac{1}{2}c_p(\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}d)}{m}\Big\}\Big)^{-1}$$
$$\geq m,$$

which is the desired contradiction. □

Remark 6.8. From the previous proof it is clear that for $0 < p < q \leq 2$, any $0 < r < \infty$ and for all $m \leq bd$ we have

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_r^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_r^d)) \geq c_{p,q,r} \min\Big\{1, \frac{\frac{1}{2}c_{p,r}(\log(b/m) + (8e)^{-1}d)}{m}\Big\}^{1/p-1/q}.$$

We will now prove the statement (ii) in Theorem 6.1. The upper bound will follow by simple inclusion arguments. For the proof of the lower bound, we follow the same line of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6.7. The only difference is that this time, we keep the outer sparsity s fixed and vary the inner sparsity t.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $0 < q \leq 1$ and $q \leq p \leq 2$. For all $m \leq bd$ we have*

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) \simeq_{p,q} \min\Big\{1, \Big(\frac{b\log(ebd/m)}{m}\Big)^{1/q-1/p}\Big\}.$$

Proof. **Upper bound**: First of all, we have the trivial bound

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) \leq c_0(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)) \leq 1.$$

Moreover, since $\|\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_q^d)\| = b^{1/q-1/p}$, we find using (23) and (S3)

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) \leq b^{1/q-1/p}c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_q^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) \leq c_{p,q}b^{1/q-1/p}\Big(\frac{\log(ebd/m)}{m}\Big)^{1/q-1/p}.$$

Lower bound: We prove the result by contradiction using Proposition 4.4, so suppose that

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) < c_{p,q}\mu(m)^{1/q-1/p},$$

where

$$\mu(m) := \min\Big\{1, \frac{\tilde{c}_{p,q}b\log(ebd/m)}{64m}\Big\}$$

and $c_{p,q}$ and $\tilde{c}_{p,q}$ are constants depending only on p and q which are to be determined below. Set $t = \lfloor \mu(m)^{-1} \rfloor$. Note that $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\frac{1}{2\mu(m)} < t \leq \frac{1}{\mu(m)}.$$

For $x \in \ker(A)$, $x \neq 0$, we have by assumption

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \leq d^{1/q-1/p}\|x\|_{\ell_p(\ell_p)} \leq c_{p,q}d^{1/q-1/p}t^{-(1/q-1/p)}\|x\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)}$$

so in particular,

$$d/t \geq c_{p,q}^{-1/(1/q-1/p)}. \tag{28}$$

By choosing $c_{p,q}$ small enough, we can arrange that $t \leq d/8$, so that we can apply Proposition 5.3 below.

We apply Proposition 4.4 for $X = \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$ and $Y = \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{[b] \times \tilde{S} \ : \ \tilde{S} \subset [d],\ \mathrm{card}(\tilde{S}) \leq 2t\}$$

be the set of all $2t$-inner sparse support sets, so that $\mathcal{S}_+$ is the set of all $4t$-inner sparse support sets. By Hölder's inequality, we have the sharp inequality

$$\|x_S\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \leq (4t)^{1/q-1/p}\|x_S\|_{\ell_p(\ell_p)}, \qquad \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{S}_+ \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d},$$

and therefore

$$\kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}_+} = (4t)^{1/q-1/p}.$$

Hence, if

$$c_{p,q} < \Big(\frac{1}{4}\Big)^{1/q-1/p}(2\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)})^{-1}$$

then by assumption

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) < c_{p,q}\mu(m)^{1/q-1/p} \leq (2\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)})^{-1}(4t)^{-(1/q-1/p)}.$$

By Proposition 4.4, for any $W \subset \{x_S \ : \ S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\log \mathcal{P}(W, \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, \varepsilon) \leq m \log\Big(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} + \frac{2\alpha^2_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}\beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} r_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}(W)}{\varepsilon}\Big).$$

Taking W as in Proposition 5.3 with $s = b/32$ and setting $\varepsilon = (b/32)^{1/p}(2t)^{1/q}$ yields

$$\frac{bt}{32}\log\Big(\frac{d}{8t}\Big) \leq m \log(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} + 2^{1+1/p}\alpha^2_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}\beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}). \tag{29}$$

Define

$$\tilde{c}_{p,q}^{-1} := \log(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} + 2^{1+1/p}\alpha^2_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}\beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}).$$

By (28) we can pick $c_{p,q}$ small enough to arrange that

$$\frac{1}{32}\log\Big(\frac{d}{8t}\Big)\tilde{c}_{p,q} \geq 8e$$

and, as a consequence, $m \geq 8ebt$. Combining this with (29) we find

$$\begin{aligned} m \geq \frac{\tilde{c}_{p,q}}{32} tb \log\Big(\frac{ebd}{m}\Big) &> \frac{\tilde{c}_{p,q}}{64}\mu(m)^{-1} b \log\Big(\frac{ebd}{m}\Big) \\ &= \frac{\tilde{c}_{p,q}}{64} b \log\Big(\frac{ebd}{m}\Big)\Big(\min\Big\{1, \frac{\tilde{c}_{p,q} b \log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right)}{64m}\Big\}\Big)^{-1} \\ &\geq m, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired contradiction. □

Finally, we prove statement (iii) in Theorem 6.1. In contrast to the results in (i) and (ii), this is a truly mixed situation in which both the outer and the inner sparsity have a visible effect on the bounds.

Proposition 6.10. *Set $0 < q \leq 1$, $q \leq p \leq 1$ and $m \leq bd$. Then, there is a constant $\tilde{c}_{p,q}$, so that*

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \simeq_{p,q} \begin{cases} 1 & : 1 \leq m \leq \tilde{c}_{p,q}\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right), \\ \left(\frac{\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right)^{1/p-1/2} & : \tilde{c}_{p,q}\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right) \leq m \leq \tilde{c}_{p,q} b \log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right), \\ b^{1/2-1/p}\left(\frac{b\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right)^{1/q-1/2} & : \tilde{c}_{p,q} b\log\left(\frac{ebd}{m}\right) \leq m \leq bd\,. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We define the constant $\tilde{c}_{p,q}$ explicitly in (33). We distinguish three cases.

Case $1 \leq m \leq (\tilde{c}_{p,q}/2)\log(ebd/m)$. The result trivially follows from the norm-1 embeddings $\ell_q^b(\ell_q^d) \hookrightarrow \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \hookrightarrow \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)$, (S3) and (23).

Case $(\tilde{c}_{p,q}/2)\log(ebd/m) \leq m \leq (\tilde{c}_{p,q} b/16)\log(ebd/m)$. Again, the upper bound is immediate from $\|\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)\| = 1$, (S3) and (23). The lower bound follows using the proof technique used for Proposition 6.7, but now setting $t = 1$ instead of $t = d/(8e)$. We include the details for the reader's convenience.

We prove the lower bound by contradiction using Proposition 4.4, so suppose that

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) < c_{p,q}\mu(m)^{1/p-1/2},$$

where

$$\mu(m) := \frac{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}_{p,q}\log(ebd/m)}{m}$$

and $c_{p,q}, \tilde{c}_{p,q}$ are to be determined below. Set $s = \lfloor \mu(m)^{-1} \rfloor$. By our assumption

$$(\tilde{c}_{p,q}/2)\log(ebd/m) \leq m \leq (\tilde{c}_{p,q} b/16)\log(ebd/m),$$

it follows that $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s \leq \frac{1}{\mu(m)} = \frac{m}{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}_{p,q}\log(ebd/m)} \leq \frac{b}{8}, \tag{30}$$

so we can apply Proposition 5.3 further below. Moreover, for $x \in \ker(A)$, $x \neq 0$, we have by assumption

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \leq b^{1/p-1/2}d^{1/q-1/2}\|x\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq b^{1/p-1/2}d^{1/q-1/2}c_{p,q}s^{-(1/p-1/2)}\|x\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}$$

so in particular,

$$b/s \geq c_{p,q}^{-1/(1/p-1/2)} d^{-\frac{1/q-1/2}{1/p-1/2}}. \tag{31}$$

We apply Proposition 4.4 for $X = \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)$ and $Y = \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all $(2s,2)$-sparse support sets, so that $\mathcal{S}_+$ is the set of all $(4s,4)$-sparse support sets. By the sharp inequality

$$\|x_S\|_{\ell_p(\ell_q)} \leq (4s)^{1/p-1/2} 4^{1/q-1/2} \|x_S\|_{\ell_2(\ell_2)}, \qquad \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{S}_+ \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d},$$

it follows that

$$\kappa_{X,Y,\mathcal{S}_+} = (4s)^{1/p-1/2} 4^{1/q-1/2}.$$

Therefore, if

$$c_{p,q} \leq \Big(\frac{1}{4}\Big)^{1/p-1/2} \Big(\frac{1}{4}\Big)^{1/q-1/2} (2\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)})^{-1},$$

then by assumption

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) < c_{p,q}\mu(m)^{1/p-1/2} \leq (2\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)})^{-1} (4s)^{-(1/p-1/2)} 4^{-(1/q-1/2)}.$$

By Proposition 4.4, for any $W \subset \{x_S \ : \ S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\log \mathcal{P}(W, \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, \varepsilon) \leq m \log\Big(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} + \frac{2\alpha^2_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} r_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}(W)}{\varepsilon}\Big).$$

By Proposition 5.3, applied with $t = 1$, there is a set W of $(2s,2)$-sparse vectors with $r_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}(W) \leq (2s)^{1/p} 2^{1/q}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}\Big(W, \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, s^{1/p} 2^{1/q}\Big) \geq \Big(\frac{b}{32s}\Big)^s \Big(\frac{d}{8}\Big)^s = \Big(\frac{bd}{256s}\Big)^s.$$

This implies

$$s \log(bd/256s) \leq m \log(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} + 2^{1+1/p} \alpha^2_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}). \tag{32}$$

Setting

$$\tilde{c}_{p,q} := \Big(\log(\alpha_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} + 2^{1+1/p} \alpha^2_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)} \beta_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)})\Big)^{-1}, \tag{33}$$

it follows from (30) that $(b/s) \geq 8$ and hence

$$m \geq \tilde{c}_{p,q} s \log(bd/256s) \geq \tilde{c}_{p,q} s \log(d/32).$$

Therefore, if

$$d \geq 32 e^{\tilde{c}_{p,q}^{-1} 256e} =: d_{p,q},$$

then $m \geq 256es$. On the other hand, if $d \leq d_{p,q}$, then by (31)

$$b/s \geq c_{p,q}^{-1/(1/p-1/2)} d^{-\frac{1/q-1/2}{1/p-1/2}} \geq c_{p,q}^{-1/(1/p-1/2)} d_{p,q}^{-\frac{1/q-1/2}{1/p-1/2}}.$$

Hence, by taking $c_{p,q}$ small enough, we can ensure that

$$m \geq \tilde{c}_{p,q} s \log(bd/256s) \geq \tilde{c}_{p,q} s \log(b/256s) \geq 256es.$$

Using this in (32) yields

$$m \geq \tilde{c}_{p,q} s \log(ebd/m)$$

Since $s > \frac{1}{2\mu(m)}$, we now find

$$m \geq \tilde{c}_{p,q} s \log(ebd/m) > \frac{1}{2} \mu(m)^{-1} \tilde{c}_{p,q} \log(ebd/m) = m,$$

which is the desired contradiction.

Case $(\tilde{c}_{p,q} b/16) \log(ebd/m) \leq m \leq bd$. Both, the lower and the upper bound follow from factorization of the identity and (S3). Namely, $\|\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_q^d)\| = b^{1/q-1/p}$ and $\|\mathrm{id} : \ell_2^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)\| = b^{1/p-1/2}$. Part (i) and (ii) of Theorem 6.1 together with (S3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} b^{1/2-1/p} \min\Big\{1, \frac{b\log(ebd/m)}{m}\Big\}^{1/q-1/2} &\simeq_{p,q} b^{1/2-1/p} c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_2^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \\ &\leq c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq b^{1/q-1/p} c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_q^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d))$$
$$\simeq_{p,q} b^{1/q-1/p} \min\Big\{1, \frac{\log(ebd/m)}{m}\Big\}^{1/q-1/2}.$$

The lower and upper bound match if $m \geq b\log(ebd/m)$. □

Remark 6.11. There are several remaining open cases for which it would be interesting to prove matching bounds for the Gelfand numbers:

(i) It would be very interesting to extend our result in (22) to the case of differing inner spaces. That is, one would like to have optimal bounds for $c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d))$ for $p \leq 1$, $p < r$ and $q < u$. As we discuss in Remark 7.12 in more detail, the upper bounds are highly relevant for our application to Besov space embeddings in Section 7. Establishing the lower bounds in the case $p \leq q \leq 1$ is interesting from a purely mathematical perspective, as it requires to understand the precise relation with structured sparsity in this parameter range.

(ii) It would also be interesting to extend the result in (iii) of Theorem 6.1 to the case $0 < q \leq 1$, $1 < p \leq 2$. As has been mentioned, the two-sided bounds in the first case (for small m) as well as in the third case (for large m) remain valid, but the bounds in the second case cannot be correct (this can be seen by taking $d = 1$). From the perspective of classical ℓ_p^n-spaces, this is a situation where one expects a mixture of the behaviours of $c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^n \to \ell_2^n)$ for $p \leq 1$ and $p > 1$.

7. Applications

7.1. **Signal processing.** From the perspective of signal processing, Gelfand widths (see Remark 2.3) are of interest due to their close connection to the compressive m-widths, defined in (14) above. As was discussed in Section 2.1, we have

$$c_m(B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)) \simeq_{p,q,r,u} E_m(B_{\ell_p^b(\ell_q^d)}, \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)).$$

Thanks to this equivalence, Theorem 1.1 has the following implication for signal processing. Its consequences for structured signal recovery were discussed before in the introduction.

Corollary 7.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ be a measurement matrix and $\Delta : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be any reconstruction map. Suppose that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$,*

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D\frac{\sigma_s^{\mathrm{outer}}(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)}}{\sqrt{s}}. \tag{34}$$

Then, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on D such that

$$m \geq c_1(s\log(eb/s) + sd),$$

provided that $s > c_2$.

On the other hand, if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{b\times d}$,

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D\frac{\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)}}{\sqrt{t}}, \tag{35}$$

then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on D such that

$$m \geq c_1 bt\log(ed/t),$$

provided that $t > c_2$.

Proof. By (34),

$$\sup_{x\in B_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)}} \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D \sup_{x\in B_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)}} \frac{\sigma_s^{\mathrm{outer}}(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_2^d)}}{\sqrt{s}} \leq \frac{D}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

Theorem 1.1 therefore implies that for some $c > 0$ and $C \geq 1$,

$$c\min\Big\{1, \frac{Ce(\log(eb/m) + d)}{m}\Big\}^{1/2} \leq c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_1^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \leq \frac{D}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

This means that either

$$s \leq \frac{D^2}{c^2} \qquad \text{or} \qquad s \leq \frac{D^2}{c^2}\frac{m}{Ce(\log(eb/m) + d)}.$$

Thus, if we assume that $s \geq D^2/c^2$, then we obtain

$$s \leq \frac{D^2}{c^2}\frac{m}{Ce(\log(eb/m)+d)} = \frac{D^2}{c^2Ce}\frac{m}{\log(ebe^d/m)}$$

We now apply Lemma 6.5 with $y = m$, $x = c^2 s/D^2$ and $K = be^d$ to obtain

$$m \geq \frac{Ce}{1+\log(Ce)}\frac{c^2 s}{D^2}\log(eD^2 be^d/c^2 s) \gtrsim s\log(eb/s) + sd.$$

Suppose now that (35) holds. Then,

$$\sup_{x\in B_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)}} \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D \sup_{x\in B_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)}} \frac{\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)}}{\sqrt{t}} \leq \frac{D}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Theorem 1.1 now yields for some $c > 0$ and $C \geq 1$,

$$c\min\left\{1, \frac{Ceb\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right\}^{1/2} \leq c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_2^b(\ell_1^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \leq \frac{D}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Therefore, either

$$t \leq \frac{D^2}{c^2} \qquad \text{or} \qquad t \leq \frac{D^2}{c^2}\frac{m}{Ceb\log(ebd/m)}.$$

Assuming that $t \geq D^2/c^2$, we find

$$t \leq \frac{D^2}{c^2Ce}\frac{(m/b)}{\log(ed/(m/b))}$$

We now apply Lemma 6.5 with $y = m/b$, $x = c^2 t/D^2$ and $K = d$ to obtain

$$\frac{m}{b} \geq \frac{Ce}{1+\log(Ce)}\frac{c^2 t}{D^2}\log(eD^2 bd/c^2 t)$$

and as a consequence,

$$m \gtrsim bt\log(ed/t).$$

□

In the introduction we claimed that if B is standard Gaussian and $A = (1/\sqrt{m})B$, then the pair $(A, \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)})$ with high probability satisfies the stable reconstruction guarantee (35), provided that $m \gtrsim bt\log(ed/t)$. By Corollary 7.1 this is optimal. Here we provide details on this claim for the convenience of the reader. We know that $(A, \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)})$ with high probability satisfies (see e.g. [13, Theorem 9.13])

$$\|x - \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)}(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D\frac{\sigma_k(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)}}{\sqrt{k}}, \tag{36}$$

whenever $m \gtrsim k\log(ebd/k)$, where

$$\sigma_k(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)} = \inf\{\|x - z\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)} \ : \ z \ \text{ is } \ k\text{-sparse}\}.$$

Set $k = bt$. By Hölder's inequality and by noting that every t-inner sparse vector is bt-sparse, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{bt}(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)} &= \inf\{\|x - z\|_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)} \ : \ z \ \text{ is } \ bt\text{-sparse}\} \\
&\leq \sqrt{b}\inf\{\|x - z\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)} \ : \ z \ \text{ is } \ bt\text{-sparse}\} \\
&\leq \sqrt{b}\inf\{\|x - z\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)} \ : \ z \ \text{ is } \ t\text{-inner sparse}\} = \sqrt{b}\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (36),

$$\|x - \Delta_{\ell_1(\ell_1)}(Ax)\|_{\ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)} \leq D\frac{\sigma_{bt}(x)_{\ell_1^b(\ell_1^d)}}{\sqrt{bt}} \leq D\frac{\sigma_t^{\mathrm{inner}}(x)_{\ell_2^b(\ell_1^d)}}{\sqrt{t}},$$

provided that $m \gtrsim k\log(ebd/k) = bt\log(ed/t)$.

7.2. **Gelfand numbers of Besov space embeddings with small mixed smoothness.** The above results in finite dimensional mixed-norm spaces have a direct application to Besov spaces embeddings with small mixed smoothness. In this section we are interested in the Gelfand numbers of the embeddings

$$\mathrm{Id} : S^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}B(\Omega) \to S^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}B(\Omega)\,, \tag{37}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain and $0 < p_0 \le p_1 \le \infty$ and $0 < q_0 < q_1 \le \infty$ such that we are in the small smoothness regime, i.e. $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r_0 - r_1 \le 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. The goal of this section is to show that the results in Theorem 6.1 imply

$$c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}B(\Omega) \to S^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}B(\Omega)) \simeq_\theta m^{-(r_0-r_1)} \tag{38}$$

in some of the parameter constellations in the small smoothness regime. Here and below we write

$$\theta := \{p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, r_0, r_1, d, \Omega\} \tag{39}$$

to abbreviate the dependency on the various parameters.

Observe that the decay behaviour in (38) is typical for the univariate situation ($d = 1$). Usually, in the d-variate setting we encounter asymptotic orders such as $n^{-r}(\log n)^{(d-1)\eta}$ for some $\eta := \eta(p_0, q_0, p_1, q_1, r_0, r_1)$, see Remark 7.9 and [30, 7]. The dimension d of the underlying Euclidean space enters the rate of convergence exponentially. Surprisingly, this is not longer the case in the small smoothness regime as Corollaries 7.6, 7.7 and 7.8 below will show. However, we emphasize that dimension d is still hidden in the constants.

We start with some definitions. We denote by $L_p(\Omega)$, $0 < p \le \infty$, the space of all measurable complex-valued functions $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ where

$$\|f\|_p := \Big(\int_\Omega |f(x)|^p dx \Big)^{1/p}$$

is finite (with the usual modification if $p = \infty$). The space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted with $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $0 < p, q \le \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the Besov space $S^r_{p,q}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as

$$S^r_{p,q}B(\mathbb{R}^d) := \Big\{ f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d) \;:\; \|f\|_{S^r_{p,q}B} := \Big(\sum_{\bar{j}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{rq\|\bar{j}\|_1} \|\mathcal{F}[\varphi_{\bar{j}} \mathcal{F} f]\|_p^q \Big)^{1/q} < \infty \Big\}\,, \tag{40}$$

(usual modification in case $q = \infty$) where the system $\{\varphi_{\bar{j}}\}_{\bar{j}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}$ is the standard tensorized dyadic decomposition of unity, see [42] and [36]. Important for our purpose is the following diagonal embedding result, see [36, Chapt. 2],

$$S^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow S^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \tag{41}$$

for $p_0 \le p_1$ and $r_0 - r_1 > 1/p_0 - 1/p_1$. The embedding (41) is never compact which is the reason why we will restrict to spaces on domains in the sequel. This guarantees a decay of the corresponding Gelfand numbers of the embedding. Before doing so, let us comment on the discretization of the above function spaces on $\mathbb{R}^d$ developed in [42, Thm. 2.10]. Using sufficiently smooth wavelets with sufficiently many vanishing moments (and the notation from [42]) the mapping

$$f \mapsto \lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}}(f) := \langle f, \psi_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} \rangle \quad , \quad \bar{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\,, \tag{42}$$

represents a sequence spaces isomorphism between $S^r_{p,q}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$s^r_{p,q}b := \Big\{ \lambda = \{\lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}}\}_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} \subset \mathbb{C} \;:\; \|\lambda\|_{s^r_{p,q}b} := \Big[\sum_{\bar{j}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{(r-1/p)q\|\bar{j}\|_1} \Big(\sum_{\bar{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}}|^p \Big)^{q/p} \Big]^{1/q} < \infty \Big\} \tag{43}$$

(with the usual modification in case $\max\{p, q\} = \infty$). We want to study entropy and Gelfand numbers of compact function space embeddings on domains. Let Ω be an arbitrary bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $D(\Omega)$ denote the set of test functions and $D'(\Omega)$ the corresponding set of distributions. Then $S^r_{p,q}B(\Omega)$ is defined as the set of restrictions (in the distributional sense) of elements of $S^r_{p,q}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to Ω, i.e.,

$$S^r_{p,q}B(\Omega) := \{ f \in D'(\Omega) \;:\; \exists g \in S^r_{p,q}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ such that } g|_\Omega = f \}$$

and its (quasi-)norm is given by $\|f\|_{S^r_{p,q}B(\Omega)} := \inf_{g|_\Omega = f} \|g\|_{S^r_{p,q}B}$. The embedding (41) transfers to the domain Ω and is compact such that the entropy and Gelfand numbers decay and converge to zero. We

are interested in establishing the decay rate especially for the embedding (37) in the important special case $\Omega = [0,1]^d$, which is representative for all tensor product domains.

Using the boundedness of certain restriction and extension operators, see [42, Section 4.5], together with the wavelet isomorphism (42) between (40) and (43), we can restrict ourselves to the study of embeddings between the "restricted sequence spaces" $s^r_{p,q}b(\Omega)$. For $\bar{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ let $Q_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} = \times_{i=1}^d 2^{-j_i}[k_i - 1, k_i + 1]$. Let $A^\Omega_{\bar{j}} = \{\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : Q_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\}$. Consider the sequence space

$$s^r_{p,q}b(\Omega) := \{\lambda = (\lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}})_{\bar{j}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d, \bar{k}\in A^\Omega_{\bar{j}}} \subset \mathbb{C} : \|\lambda\|_{s^r_{p,q}b(\Omega)} < \infty\}$$

with (quasi-)norm given by

$$\|\lambda\|_{s^r_{p,q}b(\Omega)} := \Big[\sum_{\bar{j}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{\|\bar{j}\|_1(r-1/p)q}\Big(\sum_{\bar{k}\in A^\Omega_{\bar{j}}} |\lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}}|^p\Big)^{q/p}\Big]^{1/q}. \tag{44}$$

Let us start with the following result, where upper and lower bound only differ by a power of $\log\log m$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $0 < q_0 \le p_0 \le 1$, $0 < p_0 \le p_1 \le q_1 \le 2$ and $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r \le 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. Let θ be as defined in (39). For $m \ge 2$ we have (with $\varrho := \min\{1, p_1, q_1\}$)*

$$\begin{aligned} m^{-r} &\lesssim_\theta c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^r_{p_0,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^0_{p_1,q_1}b(\Omega)) \\ &\lesssim_\theta m^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{1/q_0-1/q_1}\begin{cases} (\log\log_2 m)^{r+1/\varrho} & : \ r = 1/q_0 - 1/q_1\,, \\ 1 & : \ r < 1/q_0 - 1/q_1\,. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Proof. Step 1. By the monotonicity and subadditivity of Gelfand numbers (see (S1) and (S2) above) we may decompose as follows

$$c_m(\mathrm{id})^\varrho \le \sum_{\mu=0}^\infty c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho, \tag{46}$$

where $\mathrm{id} = \sum_{\mu=0}^\infty \mathrm{id}_\mu$ with

$$(\mathrm{id}_\mu\lambda)_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} & : \|\bar{j}\|_1 = \mu, \bar{k} \in A^\Omega_{\bar{j}}\,, \\ 0 & : \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $(m_\mu)_{\mu=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of natural numbers $m_\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $m = \sum_{\mu=1}^\infty m_\mu < \infty$. Similar to [42, (3.8)] we define the quantity

$$D_\mu := \sum_{\|\bar{j}\|_1=\mu} \#(A^\Omega_{\bar{j}})\,,$$

which denotes the dimension of the block with number μ. Clearly,

$$\sharp\{\bar{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : \|\bar{j}\|_1 = \mu\} = \binom{\mu+d-1}{\mu} \simeq_d \mu^{d-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sharp A^\Omega_{\bar{j}} \simeq_\Omega 2^{\|\bar{j}\|_1} \tag{47}$$

such that $D_\mu \simeq_{d,\Omega} 2^\mu\mu^{d-1}$. Now we fix some $J \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider four different ranges in the sum on the right-hand side in (46), i.e.,

$$c_m(\mathrm{id})^\varrho \le \sum_{\mu=0}^J c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho + \sum_{\mu=J+1}^L c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho + \sum_{\mu=L+1}^M c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho + \sum_{\mu=M+1}^\infty \|\mathrm{id}_\mu\|^\varrho\,. \tag{48}$$

Here we put $L = J + (d-1)\log_2 J$ and M will depend on L as determined below. The main challenge is to choose the m_μ suitably and estimate the corresponding $c_{m_\mu}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)$ using the finite dimensional results from Section 6. Note, that we deal with $\ell_q(\ell_p)$ spaces of complex numbers here. This technical issue only causes a multiplicative constant in the estimates below.

Step 2. To estimate the first sum we choose $m_\mu = 2D_\mu$ which yields (see (S4))

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^J c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho = 0\,. \tag{49}$$

In addition, $\sum_{\mu=0}^{J} m_\mu \simeq_{d,\Omega} 2^J J^{d-1}$. In case $m_\mu \leq 2^\mu \mu^{d-1}$ we have as a consequence of (47)

$$c_{m_\mu}(\mathrm{id}_\mu) \lesssim_\theta 2^{(-r+1/p_0-1/p_1)\mu}\, c_{m_\mu}\Big(\mathrm{id} : \ell_{q_0}^{\mu^{d-1}}(\ell_{p_0}^{2^\mu}) \to \ell_{q_1}^{\mu^{d-1}}(\ell_{p_1}^{2^\mu})\Big)\,. \tag{50}$$

If $m_\mu > 2^\mu \mu^{d-1}$ then (50) may fail since the right-hand side vanishes due to (S4). Using straightforward embedding arguments for finite-dimensional mixed-norm spaces, the Gelfand numbers on the right-hand side can be estimated in two different ways using (23). On the one hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{m_\mu}(\mathrm{id}_\mu) &\lesssim_\theta 2^{-(r-1/p_0+1/p_1)\mu} 2^{\mu(1/q_0-1/p_0)} 2^{\mu(1/p_1-1/q_1)} c_{m_\mu}(\mathrm{id} : \ell_{q_0}^{2^\mu \mu^{d-1}} \to \ell_{q_1}^{2^\mu \mu^{d-1}}) \\ &\lesssim_\theta 2^{-r\mu} 2^{\mu(1/q_0-1/q_1)} \Big(\frac{\log(e 2^\mu \mu^{d-1}/m_\mu)}{m_\mu}\Big)^{1/q_0-1/q_1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{m_\mu}(\mathrm{id}_\mu) &\lesssim_\theta 2^{-(r-1/p_0+1/p_1)\mu} c_{m_\mu}(\mathrm{id} : \ell_{p_0}^{2^\mu \mu^{d-1}} \to \ell_{p_1}^{2^\mu \mu^{d-1}}) \\ &\lesssim_\theta 2^{-(r-1/p_0+1/p_1)\mu} \Big(\frac{\log(e 2^\mu \mu^{d-1}/m_\mu)}{m_\mu}\Big)^{1/p_0-1/p_1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Let us assume for the moment that $r < 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. In the second sum in (48) we choose $m_\mu = 2^\mu 2^{(L-\mu)\kappa}$ with $\kappa < 1$ such that $r < \kappa(1/q_0 - 1/q_1)$. Clearly, $m_\mu \leq 2^\mu \mu^{d-1}$ and, due to $\kappa < 1$, $\sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} m_\mu \simeq_\kappa 2^L = 2^J J^{d-1}$. Employing (51) gives

$$\sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho \lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} 2^{-\mu r\varrho} \Big[2^{(\mu-L)\kappa}(\log e \mu^{d-1} 2^{(\mu-L)\kappa})\Big]^{(1/q_0-1/q_1)\varrho}\,. \tag{53}$$

Since we assumed $r < 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho \lesssim_\theta 2^{-Lr\varrho} (\log L)^{(1/q_0-1/q_1)\varrho} \simeq_\theta (2^J J^{d-1})^{-r\varrho} (\log J)^{(1/q_0-1/q_1)\varrho}\,. \tag{54}$$

In the case $r = 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$ we choose $m_\mu = 2^J \mu^{d-1}$ in the range $J < \mu \leq L$. This gives $m_\mu \leq 2^\mu \mu^{d-1}$ and

$$\sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} m_\mu \simeq_d 2^J J^{d-1} \sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} 1 \simeq_d 2^J J^{d-1} \log J\,. \tag{55}$$

Instead of (53) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho &\lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} \Big[2^{-J}\mu^{-(d-1)}(\mu - J)\Big]^{(1/q_0-1/q_1)\varrho} \\ &\simeq_\theta \Big[2^J J^{d-1}\Big]^{-r\varrho} (\log J)^{(1/q_0-1/q_1)\varrho} \log J\,. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Now we discuss the third sum in (48), which we only need in case $p_0 < p_1$ otherwise we continue directly with (64) below where $M = L$. Here we use $m_\mu := \lfloor 2^\mu 2^{(L-\mu)\beta} \rfloor$ where $\beta > 1$ is chosen such that $r > \beta(1/p_0 - 1/p_1)$. Again $m_\mu \leq 2^\mu \mu^{d-1}$ and $\sum_{\mu=L}^{\infty} m_\mu \simeq_\beta 2^L = 2^J J^{d-1}$. In addition, for $\mu > M := \lceil L\beta/(\beta-1) \rceil$ we

have $m_\mu = 0$. Using (52) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mu=L+1}^{M} c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho &\lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=L+1}^{M} 2^{-(r-1/p_0+1/p_1)\mu\varrho}\Big(\frac{\log(e2^\mu\mu^{d-1}/m_\mu)}{m_\mu}\Big)^{(1/p_0-1/p_1)\varrho}\\ &\lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=L+1}^{\infty} 2^{-r\mu\varrho}\Big[2^{(\mu-L)\beta}\log(2^{(\mu-L)\beta}\mu^{d-1})\Big]^{(1/p_0-1/p_1)\varrho}\\ &\lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=L+1}^{\infty} 2^{-r\mu\varrho}\Big[2^{(\mu-L)\beta}((\mu-L)\beta+\log\mu)\Big]^{(1/p_0-1/p_1)\varrho}\\ &\simeq_\theta 2^{-rL\varrho}(\log L)^{(1/p_0-1/p_1)\varrho}\\ &\simeq_\theta (2^J J^{d-1})^{-r\varrho}(\log J)^{(1/p_0-1/p_1)\varrho}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Let us finally care for the fourth sum in (48). It holds

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mu=M+1}^{\infty}\|\mathrm{id}_\mu\|^\varrho &\lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-(r-1/p_0+1/p_1)\mu\varrho}\\ &\simeq_\theta 2^{-(r-1/p_0+1/p_1)M\varrho}\\ &\simeq_\theta 2^{-L(r-1/p_0+1/p_1)\beta/(\beta-1)\varrho}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Note, that $r > \beta(1/p_0 - 1/p_1)$ for $\beta > 1$ implies $(r - 1/p_0 + 1/p_1)\beta/(\beta-1) > r$. Then it holds

$$\sum_{\mu=M+1}^{\infty}\|\mathrm{id}_\mu\|^\varrho \lesssim_\theta 2^{-Lr\varrho} \simeq_\theta (2^J J^{d-1})^{-r\varrho}\,. \tag{59}$$

Altogether, (49), (54), (57), and (59) yield in case $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r < 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$

$$\begin{aligned}c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^r_{p_0,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^0_{p_1,q_1}b(\Omega)) &\lesssim_\theta (2^J J^{d-1})^{-r}(\log J)^{1/q_0-1/q_1}\\ &\simeq_\theta m^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{1/q_0-1/q_1}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

by taking into account

$$m_J := \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} m_\mu \simeq_\theta 2^J J^{d-1} \tag{61}$$

and a standard monotonicity argument to fill the gaps between $\{m_J\}_{J=1}^\infty$. Similarly, in the endpoint case $r = 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$ the estimates (55) and (56) imply

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^r_{p_0,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^0_{p_1,q_1}b(\Omega)) \lesssim_\theta m^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{1/q_0-1/q_1}(\log\log_2 m)^{r+1/\varrho}\,. \tag{62}$$

Step 3 (lower bound). The argument given by Vybíral in [42, Thm. 3.18, Step. 2] for proving the same lower bound for entropy numbers almost literally applies to Gelfand numbers by taking the lower bound in Theorem 6.1, (23) into account (instead of [42, Lem. 3.11]). □

In case $p_0 = p_1 = 2$ we obtain the following sharp result which turns out to be a consequence of our new improved block result in Theorem 6.1, (22). The main benefit is that we do not produce the term $(\log\log_2 m)^{1/q_0-1/q_1}$, see (45), which is an artefact of the log-term in (23). Compared to Proposition 7.2, we can get beyond the restriction $p_0 \le 1$, but now need to impose $p_0 = p_1 = 2$.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $0 < q_0 \le 1$, $q_0 < q_1 \le 2$ and $0 < r < 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. Let further $\varrho = \min\{1, q_1\}$. For $m \ge 2$, we have*

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^r_{2,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^0_{2,q_1}b(\Omega)) \simeq_\theta m^{-r}\,. \tag{63}$$

Proof. We return to (48) where we drop the third sum, i.e., $M = L = J+(d-1)\log_2 J$. The range $\mu = 0, ..., J$ is treated completely analogous as above. In the range $\mu = L+1, ...$ we chose $m_\mu = 0$ and estimate the sum over the operator (quasi-)norms of id_μ. This gives

$$\sum_{\mu=L+1}^{\infty} \|\mathrm{id}_\mu\|^\varrho \lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=L+1}^{\infty} 2^{-\mu r\varrho} \simeq_\theta (2^J J^{d-1})^{-r\varrho}\,. \tag{64}$$

Let us deal with the range $\mu = J+1, ..., L$. By Theorem 6.1, (22) we have, in contrast to (51) above, the improved bound

$$c_{m_\mu}(\mathrm{id}_\mu) \simeq_\theta 2^{-r\mu}\Big(\frac{2^\mu + \log(\mu^{d-1}/m_\mu)}{m_\mu}\Big)^{1/q_0-1/q_1}\,, \tag{65}$$

which results in

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} c_{m_\mu+1}(\mathrm{id}_\mu)^\varrho &\lesssim_\theta \sum_{\mu=J+1}^{L} 2^{-\mu r\varrho} 2^{(\mu-L)\kappa(1/q_0-1/q_1)\varrho} \\ &\simeq_\theta 2^{-Lr\varrho} \simeq_\theta (2^J J^{d-1})^{-r\varrho}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

when plugging in $m_\mu = 2^\mu 2^{(L-\mu)\kappa}$. The relations (49), (64), and (66) together with (61) imply (63). □

What concerns the endpoint case $r = 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$ we are able to complement Propositions 7.2, 7.3 in case $p_0 = p_1 = 2$ as follows.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $0 < q_0 \le 1$, $q_0 < q_1 \le 2$ and $r = 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. Let further $\varrho = \min\{1, q_1\}$. For $m \ge 2$, we have*

$$m^{-r} \lesssim_\theta c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^r_{2,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^0_{2,q_1}b(\Omega)) \lesssim_\theta m^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{r+1/\varrho}\,. \tag{67}$$

Proof. We insert $m_\mu = 2^J\mu^{d-1}$ into (65) and take (55) into account. □

Let us state our main result in this subjection.

Theorem 7.5. *Under the same restrictions as in Propositions 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4 the bounds for the Gelfand numbers literally transfer to the corresponding embedding*

$$\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{p_0,q_0}B(\Omega) \to S^0_{p_1,q_1}B(\Omega)\,.$$

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of Propositions 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4 together with the machinery described in the proof of [42, Thm. 4.11]. All that is needed for this machinery are the properties (S1)-(S5), which are satisfied by both Gelfand and dyadic entropy numbers. □

Let us emphasize the following special cases. In connection with Besov space embeddings of mixed smoothness the target space L_p plays a particular role.

Corollary 7.6. *Let $0 < q \le 1$ and $0 < r < 1/q - 1/2$. Then we have*

$$c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{2,q}B(\Omega) \to L_2(\Omega)) \simeq_\theta m^{-r} \quad , \quad m \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of Theorem 7.5 together with the fact that $S^0_{2,2}B(\Omega) = L_2(\Omega)$. □

Let us also state the following endpoint result as a special case of Proposition 7.4 (take $q_0 = q$, $q_1 = 2$).

Corollary 7.7. *Let $0 < q \le 1$ and $r = 1/q - 1/2$. Then we have for $m > 2$*

$$m^{-r} \lesssim_\theta c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{2,q}B(\Omega) \to L_2(\Omega)) \lesssim_\theta m^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{r+1}\,.$$

Let us finally mention the following non-sharp bound.

Corollary 7.8. *Let $0 < q_0 < p_0 \le 1$, $p_0 \le p_1 \le 2$ and $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r < 1/q_0 - 1/p_1$. Then we have for $m > 2$*

$$m^{-r} \lesssim_\theta c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{p_0,q_0}B(\Omega) \to L_{p_1}(\Omega)) \lesssim_\theta m^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{1/q_0-1/p_1}\,.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 7.5 (with $q_1 = p_1$) and the trivial embedding $S^0_{p_1,p_1}B(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{p_1}(\Omega)$ in case $0 < p_1 \le 2$. □

Remark 7.9. *(i)* In the case of entropy numbers Vybíral proved in [42, Thm. 4.9] that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$c\, m^{-(r_0-r_1)} \le e_m(\mathrm{id} : s^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}b(\Omega)) \le C_\varepsilon m^{-(r_0-r_1)}(\log m)^{\varepsilon} \quad , \quad m \ge 2, \tag{68}$$

for $0 < p_0 \le p_1 \le \infty$, $0 < q_0 \le q_1 \le \infty$ in the case of *small smoothness* $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r_0 - r_1 \le 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. The latter result is a direct consequence of a sharp bound in the regime of "large smoothness"

$$r_0 - r_1 > \max\{1/p_0 - 1/p_1, 1/q_0 - 1/q_1\} \tag{69}$$

which is

$$e_m(\mathrm{id} : s^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}b(\Omega)) \simeq_\theta m^{-(r_0-r_1)}(\log m)^{(d-1)(r_0-r_1-1/q_0+1/q_1)}\,. \tag{70}$$

In fact, the entropy numbers in (68) can be bounded from above by $e_m(\mathrm{id} : s^{r_0}_{p_0,q^*}b(\Omega) \to s^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}b(\Omega))$ if $q^* \ge q_0$. Now choose $q_1 > q^* > q_0$ such that $1/q^* - 1/q_1 + \varepsilon/(d-1) = r_0 - r_1 > 1/q^* - 1/q_1$ which, together with (70) and q_0 replaced by q^*, implies (68). The gap in (68) will be closed in a forthcoming paper [27] also in the endpoint case $r = 1/q_0 - 1/q_1$. The correct order is $m^{-(r_0-r_1)}$.

(ii) Using almost literally the arguments in [42, Thms. 3.18, 3.19] together with Theorem 6.1, (23) we can prove a direct counterpart of (70) for Gelfand numbers in the situation $\max\{p_0, q_0\} \le 1, \max\{p_1, q_1\} \le 2$, $p_0 \le p_1$ and (69), which is

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^{r_0}_{p_0,q_0}b(\Omega) \to s^{r_1}_{p_1,q_1}b(\Omega)) \simeq_\theta m^{-(r_0-r_1)}(\log m)^{(d-1)(r_0-r_1-1/q_0+1/q_1)} \quad , \quad m \ge 2\,. \tag{71}$$

(iii) However, the argument described after (70) does does not apply for Gelfand numbers. Consider the situation of determining the Gelfand numbers of the embedding $c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^r_{2,1}b(\Omega) \to s^0_{2,2}b(\Omega))$ for $r < 1/2$. We choose $q^* > 1$ such that $1/q^* - 1/2 = r$. The problem reduces to the Gelfand numbers of the embedding $c_m(\mathrm{id} : s^r_{2,q^*}b(\Omega) \to s^0_{2,2}b(\Omega))$. Note, that this situation is not covered by (71). Nevertheless, we may reduce further to the finite-dimensional embedding $\mathrm{id} : \ell^b_{q^*}(\ell^d_2) \to \ell^b_2(\ell^d_2)$. The critical point here is, that in case $\min\{p_0, q_0\} > 1$ entropy and Gelfand numbers of embeddings $\mathrm{id} : \ell^b_{q_0}(\ell^d_{p_0}) \to \ell^b_{q_1}(\ell^d_{p_1})$ may differ in order (already if $1 < p_0 = q_0 < 2$ and $p_1 = q_1 = 2$, see the second case in [43, Lem. 4.7]. Applying the technique in [42, Thm. 3.19] (as recently done in [30, Thm. 2.2]) produces the additional restriction $r > 1/2$ which does not help in our case where $r < 1/2$. Therefore, a counterpart of (68) based on a trivial embedding argument does not seem to be available for Gelfand numbers. Hence, the results in Propositions 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 seem to be completely new.

Remark 7.10. Note that in Corollary 7.8 the case $p_0 = q_0$ is excluded. In [29] Nguyen and Sickel studied Weyl numbers of embeddings of tensor product Besov spaces ($p_0 = q_0$) with small mixed smoothness into L_{p_1}. Replacing x_n by c_n in the proof of [29, Lem. 6.19] we obtain for $0 < p_0 \le 1 < p_1 < 2$ and $1/p_0 - 1/p_1 < r < 1/p_0 - 1/2$ the estimate

$$m^{-r} \lesssim_\theta c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{p_0,p_0}B(\Omega) \to L_{p_1}(\Omega)) \lesssim_\theta m^{-r}(\log\log_2 m)^{1/p_0-1/2}\,.$$

Remark 7.11. The special case $\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{2,1}B(\Omega) \to L_2(\Omega)$ in Corollary 7.6 is of particular interest. "Dualizing" its proof in Proposition 7.3 we obtain the following sharp result for the Kolmogorov numbers, namely

$$d_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{2,2}B(\Omega) \to S^0_{2,\infty}B(\Omega)) \simeq_\theta m^{-r} \quad , \quad m \in \mathbb{N}\,,$$

provided that $0 < r < 1/2$.

Remark 7.12. We doubt that $\log\log m$-terms in Propositions 7.2, 7.4 and Corollaries 7.7, 7.8 are necessary. Except in Proposition 7.3, where we actually use the sharp mixed-norm estimate (22), we reduce the problem to the classical finite-dimensional non-mixed situation (23) via standard embeddings. Here we produce an additional log which will be a $\log\log$ at the end. To get an improvement, one needs to refine these estimates by working directly with the mixed-norms. An associated mixed-norm result in the spirit of (22) where the inner ℓ^d_2-spaces are replaced by $\ell^d_{p_0}$ and $\ell^d_{p_1}$ would solve this issue. But this seems to be rather involved for Gelfand numbers and we leave it as an open problem. However, in the case of entropy numbers this is possible as we will show in a forthcoming paper, [27].

8. Related work on Gelfand numbers

Gelfand numbers play an important role in *compressive sensing* [8, 12, 13], which deals with the recovery of high-dimensional, (approximately) sparse signals from linear measurements. In this theory, approximately sparse (also called *compressible*) signals are modelled by vectors in non-convex ℓ_p^d-balls with $0 < p \leq 1$. Gelfand numbers of such non-convex balls measured in Euclidean space are of great importance since they give general performance bounds for sparse recovery methods. Beyond compressive sensing, compressibility assumptions also made their way into high-dimensional function recovery, concretely, the recovery of so-called ridge functions [6, 11, 28, 24].

Matching bounds for the Gelfand numbers for classical ℓ_p-spaces with $p \geq 1$ (corresponding to $p = q$ and $r = u$ in our notation) are known for many parameter ranges, largely due to work in the late seventies and in the eighties. We refer to [43, Section 4] for a summary of these classical results and historical references. Let us only specifically mention the classical bound

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_1^b(\ell_1^d) \to \ell_2^b(\ell_2^d)) \simeq \min\left\{1, \frac{Ce\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right\}^{1/2}, \tag{72}$$

which was obtained by Garnaev and Gluskin [16, 18] following important earlier advances of Kashin [22]. Note that one recovers this result from (4) by taking '$b = bd$', $d = 1$. More recently, some of the classical estimates were extended to the quasi-Banach range $p < 1$, see [43, 12]. In particular, in [12] it was shown that for $0 < p \leq 1$ and $p < q \leq 2$,

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d) \to \ell_q^b(\ell_q^d)) \simeq \min\left\{1, \frac{Ce\log(ebd/m)}{m}\right\}^{1/p-1/q}.$$

The proof of this result exploits the connection between Gelfand widths for $\ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)$ and the recovery of sparse vectors. In a similar way, the proof of Theorem 6.1 exploits a connection with the recovery of vectors exhibiting structured sparsity.

In the nineties, Galeev [14, 15] and Izaak [20, 21] proved some (near-)matching bounds for Kolmogorov widths of mixed-norm $\ell_p(\ell_q)$-spaces. They applied their bounds to estimate the approximation numbers (or linear widths) of embeddings of Hölder-Nikol'skii spaces of periodic functions with mixed smoothness $S^r_{p,\infty}B(\mathbb{T}^d)$ into L_q-spaces (see in particular the main theorem in [15] and [21, Theorem 4]). By the well-known duality between Kolmogorov and Gelfand widths, i.e., $c_m(T : X \to Y) = d_m(T^* : Y^* \to X^*)$ for any Banach spaces X, Y, their results can be phrased in terms of Gelfand widths as follows. If $1 < q < \infty$ and $m < bd/2$, then by [14, Theorem 2],

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_q^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_1^b(\ell_\infty^d)) \simeq b^{1-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Izaak [20, Thm. 1] extended the result to $q = \infty$ and proved in case $m < bd/2$

$$\frac{b\sqrt{\log\log b}}{\log b} \lesssim c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_\infty^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_1^b(\ell_\infty^d)) \lesssim b.$$

The lower bound has been improved just recently by Malykhin, Ryutin [26] to the sharp bound

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_\infty^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_1^b(\ell_\infty^d)) \simeq b.$$

This result can be used to prove the following sharp result for Gelfand numbers of Hölder-Nikol'skij spaces $S^r_{p,\infty}B(\mathbb{T}^d)$, see [15, pp. 136–140], [26], [5, Thm. 8.3].

Theorem 8.1. *If $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $r > 1 - 1/q$, then*

$$c_m(\mathrm{Id} : S^r_{p,\infty}B(\mathbb{T}^d) \to L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)) \simeq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\log^{d-1} m}{m}\right)^{r-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}} (\log m)^{\frac{d-1}{q}} & : \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < 1,\ p \leq 2, \\ \left(\frac{\log^{d-1} m}{m}\right)^{r-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} (\log m)^{\frac{d-1}{q}} & : \quad 2 \leq p < q. \end{cases}$$

The same relation for approximation numbers (linear widths) was proved recently by Malykhin and Ryutin. It closes a log log-gap in a result of Galeev [15] from 1996. It turned out that the lower bound is also true for Gelfand numbers.

Let us also mention the results from [21, Thm. 3] and the remark following it. Let $m < bd/2$. First,

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_\infty^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_\infty^b(\ell_\infty^d)) \simeq 1;$$

Second, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_\infty^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) \simeq_p b^{\frac{1}{p}} d^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Third, if $2 \leq p < \infty$ and in addition there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $d \geq \gamma \log b$, then it holds

$$\frac{b^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\log b)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \lesssim_\gamma c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_\infty^b(\ell_2^d) \to \ell_p^b(\ell_p^d)) \lesssim b^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Finally, we state a result of Vasileva from [41]. For $1 \leq a \leq 2$ and $1 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ we define

$$\lambda(a,b) = \min\left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b}}{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{2}}, 1 \right\}$$

if $a \neq 2$ and $\lambda(a,b) = 1$ if $a = 2$. Note that if $a < 2$, then $\lambda(a,b) < 1$ if and only if $b < 2$.

Theorem 8.2 ([41])**.** *Let $1 < p \leq 2$, $1 < q \leq 2$, $p \leq r \leq \infty$, and $q \leq u \leq \infty$. Then there exists an $a = a(p,q) > 0$ such that for all m, b, d with $m \leq abd$*

$$c_m(\mathrm{id} : \ell_p^b(\ell_q^d) \to \ell_r^b(\ell_u^d)) \simeq_{p,q,r,u} \Phi_0(m,b,d,p,q,r,u)$$

provided that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $u \geq 2$, $r \geq 2$, and

$$\Phi_0 = \min\{1, m^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^{1-\frac{1}{q}} b^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\}$$

(ii) $\lambda(q,u) \leq \lambda(p,r)$, $\lambda(q,u) < 1$, and

$$\Phi_0 = \min\left\{1, (m^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^{1-\frac{1}{q}} b^{1-\frac{1}{p}})^{\lambda(q,u)}, d^{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{q}} (m^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{1-\frac{1}{p}})^{\lambda(p,r)}\right\}$$

(iii) $\lambda(q,u) \geq \lambda(p,r)$, $\lambda(p,r) < 1$, and

$$\Phi_0 = \min\left\{1, (m^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^{1-\frac{1}{q}} b^{1-\frac{1}{p}})^{\lambda(p,r)}, b^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}} (m^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^{1-\frac{1}{q}} b^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\lambda(q,u)}\right\}.$$

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank S. Mayer for several fruitful discussions on this paper. They also acknowledge the fruitful comments by G. Byrenheid, V.K. Nguyen, E. Novak and H. Rauhut on the topic of this paper. T.U. gratefully acknowledges support by the German Research Foundation (DFG) Ul-403/2-1 and the Emmy-Noether programme, Ul-403/1-1.

References

[1] B. Adcock, A. C. Hansen, C. Poon, and B. Roman. Breaking the coherence barrier: asymptotic incoherence and asymptotic sparsity in compressed sensing. *CoRR*, abs/1302.0561, 2013.

[2] U. Ayaz, S. Dirksen, and H. Rauhut. Uniform recovery of fusion frame structured sparse signals. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 41(2):341–361, 2016.

[3] A. Bastounis and A. C. Hansen. On the absence of the RIP in real-world applications of compressed sensing and the RIP in levels. *CoRR*, abs/1411.4449, 2014.

[4] S. Boucheron, G. Lugosi, and P. Massart. *Concentration Inequalities: A Nonasymptotic Theory of Independence*. Oxford University Press, 2013.

[5] G. Byrenheid and T. Ullrich. Optimal sampling recovery of mixed order Sobolev embeddings via discrete Littlewood-Paley type characterizations. ArXiv:1603.04809.

[6] A. Cohen, I. Daubechies, R. DeVore, G. Kerkyacharian, and D. Picard. Capturing ridge functions in high dimensions from point queries. *Constr. Approx.*, 35(2):225–243, 2012.

[7] D. Dũng, V. Temlyakov, and T. Ullrich. Hyperbolic cross approximation. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser/Springer, to appear. ArXiv:1601.03978v2.

[8] D. L. Donoho. For most large underdetermined systems of linear equations the minimal l^1 solution is also the sparsest solution. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 59(6):797–829, 2006.

[9] D. E. Edmunds and J. Lang. Gelfand numbers and widths. *J. Approx. Theory*, 166:78–84, 2013.

[10] Y. C. Eldar and M. Mishali. Robust recovery of signals from a structured union of subspaces. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 55(11):5302–5316, 2009.

[11] M. Fornasier, K. Schnass, and J. Vybiral. Learning functions of few arbitrary linear parameters in high dimensions. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 12(2):229–262, 2012.
[12] S. Foucart, A. Pajor, H. Rauhut, and T. Ullrich. The Gelfand widths of ℓ_p-balls for $0 < p \leq 1$. *J. Complexity*, 26(6):629–640, 2010.
[13] S. Foucart and H. Rauhut. *A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2013.
[14] È. M. Galeev. Kolmogorov diameters of classes of periodic functions of one and several variables. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 54(2):418–430, 1990.
[15] È. M. Galeev. Linear widths of Hölder-Nikol′skiĭ classes of periodic functions of several variables. *Mat. Zametki*, 59(2):189–199, 317, 1996.
[16] A. Y. Garnaev and E. D. Gluskin. The widths of a Euclidean ball. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 277(5):1048–1052, 1984.
[17] E. Gilbert. A comparison of signalling alphabets. *Bell System Tech. Jour.*, 31:504–522, 1952.
[18] E. D. Gluskin. On some finite-dimensional problems in the theory of widths. *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math*, 14(163-170):163–170, 1982.
[19] Y. Gordon. On Milman's inequality and random subspaces which escape through a mesh in $\mathbf{R}^n$. In *Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87)*, volume 1317 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 84–106. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
[20] A. D. Izaak. Kolmogorov widths in finite-dimensional spaces with mixed norm. *Mat. Zametki*, 55(1):43–52, 156, 1994.
[21] A. D. Izaak. Widths of Hölder-Nikol′skiĭ classes and finite-dimensional sets in spaces with a mixed norm. *Mat. Zametki*, 59(3):459–461, 1996.
[22] B. S. Kashin. Diameters of some finite-dimensional sets and classes of smooth functions. *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 41(2):334–351, 1977.
[23] T. Kühn. A lower estimate for entropy numbers. *J. Approx. Theory*, 110(1):120–124, 2001.
[24] T. Kühn, S. Mayer, and T. Ullrich. Counting via entropy: new preasymptotics for the approximation numbers of Sobolev embeddings. *SIAM Journ. Num. Analysis*, 54(6):3625–3647, 2016.
[25] C. Li and B. Adcock. Compressed sensing with local structure: uniform recovery guarantees for the sparsity in levels class. *CoRR*, abs/1601.01988, 2016.
[26] Y. Malykhin and K. Ryutin. Product of octahedra is badly approximated in the $\ell_{2,1}$-metric. ArXiv:1606.00738.
[27] S. Mayer and T. Ullrich. On a theorem of Edmunds and Netrusov and implications for entropy numbers of Besov space embeddings in regimes of small mixed smoothness. *work in progress*, 2017.
[28] S. Mayer, T. Ullrich, and J. Vybíral. Entropy and sampling numbers of classes of ridge functions. *Constr. Approx.*, 42(2):231–264, 2015.
[29] V. Nguyen and W. Sickel. Weyl numbers of embeddings of tensor product Besov spaces. *J. Approx. Theory*, 24(200):170–220, 2015.
[30] V. K. Nguyen. Gelfand numbers of embeddings of mixed Besov spaces. ArXiv:1607.05559.
[31] A. Pietsch. *Eigenvalues and s-numbers*, volume 13 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
[32] A. Pinkus. *N-widths in approximation theory*, volume 7 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
[33] Y. Plan and R. Vershynin. One-bit compressed sensing by linear programming. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 66(8):1275–1297, 2013.
[34] Y. Plan and R. Vershynin. Robust 1-bit compressed sensing and sparse logistic regression: a convex programming approach. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 59(1):482–494, 2013.
[35] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis, second ed.* McGraw-Hill, New York, St.Louis, San Francisco, 1991.
[36] H.-J. Schmeisser and H. Triebel. *Topics in Fourier analysis and function spaces*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1987.
[37] S. B. Stechkin. On absolute convergence of orthogonal series. *Dokl. AN SSSR*, 102:37–40, 1955.
[38] V. N. Temlyakov. Approximations of functions with bounded mixed derivative. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 178:1–112, 1986.

[39] J. F. Traub, G. W. Wasilkowski, and H. Woźniakowski. *Information-based complexity*. Computer Science and Scientific Computing. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. With contributions by A. G. Werschulz and T. Boult.
[40] R. Varshamov. Estimate of the number of signals in error correcting codes. *Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR*, 117:739–741, 1952.
[41] A. Vasil'eva. Kolmogorov and linear widths of the weighted Besov classes with singularity at the origin. *Journ. Approx. Theory*, 167:1–41, 2013.
[42] J. Vybíral. Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 436:73, 2006.
[43] J. Vybíral. Widths of embeddings in function spaces. *J. Complexity*, 24(4):545–570, 2008.

RWTH Aachen University, Lehrstuhl C für Mathematik (Analysis), Pontdriesch 10, 52062 Aachen, Germany
E-mail address: dirksen@mathc.rwth-aachen.de

University of Bonn, Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Endenicher Allee 62, 53115 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: tino.ullrich@hcm.uni-bonn.de